

# Contents

<b>1 The International Criminal Court as the New Paradigm to Close Impunity Gaps</b> . . . . .	1
1.1 The Different Phases: From Nuremberg/Tokyo IMTs, Yugoslavia and Rwanda Ad Hoc Tribunals to the Complementarity of the ICC . . . . .	3
1.1.1 Nullum crimen sine lege, nulla poena sine lege . . . . .	5
1.1.2 Individual Criminal Responsibility . . . . .	6
1.1.3 The Establishment of the ICTY and the ICTR . . . . .	7
1.2 The Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court . . . . .	10
1.3 The Journey So Far: The ICC and African States . . . . .	12
1.4 Conclusion . . . . .	17
References . . . . .	18
<b>2 The Complementarity Regime of the Rome Statute: An Analysis of Its Components</b> . . . . .	19
2.1 The Principle of Complementarity . . . . .	21
2.1.1 What Is Complementarity . . . . .	21
2.1.2 Complementarity in the Rome Statute . . . . .	23
2.2 Evaluating the Twin Concepts of Jurisdiction and Admissibility . . . . .	27
2.2.1 Admissibility and Trigger Mechanisms for ICC Investigation . . . . .	29
2.2.2 Clarifying the Elements of Complementarity . . . . .	30
2.2.3 Evaluating Complementarity Under Articles 18, 19 and 20 . . . . .	39
2.3 Emerging Models of Complementarity . . . . .	43
2.3.1 Passive Complementarity . . . . .	44
2.3.2 Positive Complementarity . . . . .	45
2.3.3 Proactive Complementarity . . . . .	47
2.4 Conclusion . . . . .	50
References . . . . .	51

<b>3 National Implementation of the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court: Obligations and Challenges for States Parties . . .</b>	<b>55</b>
3.1 The Rationale for Implementing Legislation . . . . .	58
3.2 Cooperation Legislation . . . . .	65
3.3 Complementarity Legislation . . . . .	71
3.3.1 The Minimalist Approach . . . . .	72
3.3.2 The Express Criminalisation Approach . . . . .	73
3.4 States' Implementing Legislation . . . . .	76
3.4.1 Procedures for South Africa's Implementing Legislation . . . . .	77
3.4.2 South Africa's Complementarity Legislation . . . . .	79
3.4.3 South Africa's Cooperation Legislation . . . . .	81
3.5 Conclusion . . . . .	83
References . . . . .	85
<b>4 Domestic Prosecutions in Africa Under the Complementarity Regime of the Rome Statute: A Practical Approach . . . . .</b>	<b>89</b>
4.1 Domestic Prosecutions of International Crimes in Selected African States . . . . .	91
4.1.1 Domestic Prosecution in the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) . . . . .	96
4.1.2 Domestic Prosecution in Kenya . . . . .	100
4.1.3 Domestic Prosecution in Sudan . . . . .	103
4.1.4 Domestic Prosecution in Uganda . . . . .	104
4.2 Complementarity-Based Prosecution in the United Kingdom . . . . .	107
4.3 The Differences Between Domestic Prosecution and Complementarity-Based Prosecution . . . . .	110
4.3.1 The Differences Between International and Domestic Crimes . . . . .	110
4.3.2 The Transfer of Cases from the ICTR to Rwandan National Courts . . . . .	113
4.4 Conclusion . . . . .	116
References . . . . .	118
<b>5 Unpacking the Tension Between the African Union and the ICC: The Way Forward . . . . .</b>	<b>123</b>
5.1 The Politics Behind International Criminal Law . . . . .	126
5.1.1 Selectivity . . . . .	128
5.1.2 Is Africa Merely a Laboratory or a Scapegoat? . . . . .	131
5.2 Africa and the ICC . . . . .	133
5.2.1 Africa's Modern Perspective on Peace and Stability . . . . .	134
5.2.2 The Case against President Omar Hassan Ahmad Al-Bashir of Sudan . . . . .	137

5.3	Unpacking the Tension Between the ICC and the AU . . . . .	140
5.3.1	Legal Challenges . . . . .	140
5.3.2	Political Challenges . . . . .	147
5.4	The ICC: Unfairly Targeting Africa? . . . . .	150
5.5	Conclusion . . . . .	152
	References . . . . .	153
<b>6</b>	<b>Institutional Preparedness for the Complementarity Regime: Nigeria as a Case Study . . . . .</b>	<b>157</b>
6.1	The Nigerian Criminal Justice System . . . . .	160
6.1.1	The Criminal Code, the Police and Criminal Investigation in Nigeria . . . . .	161
6.1.2	The Role of the Nigerian Prison Service in the Criminal Justice System . . . . .	164
6.2	The Nigerian Judiciary and Complementarity . . . . .	166
6.2.1	Appointment of Judges in the Nigerian Judiciary: The Need for Focused Expertise in the Field of International Criminal Law . . . . .	168
6.2.2	Professional Legal Skills and Personal Qualities Required in Judicial Function . . . . .	169
6.2.3	Appointments of Judges in Nigeria: Towards a More Representative Judiciary? . . . . .	170
6.3	Tackling Corruption: A Necessary Strategy for Implementing Complementarity in Nigeria . . . . .	174
6.3.1	Corruption in Nigeria . . . . .	176
6.3.2	Corruption in the Nigerian Judiciary . . . . .	178
6.3.3	Intimidation and Manipulation of Judges . . . . .	180
6.4	Conclusion . . . . .	188
	References . . . . .	189
<b>7</b>	<b>Mutual Inclusivity: A <i>Sine qua non</i> to National Implementation of Complementarity . . . . .</b>	<b>195</b>
7.1	Conclusions . . . . .	197
7.1.1	The Relevance of a Mutually Inclusive Interpretation of Complementarity . . . . .	197
7.1.2	Complementarity-Based Prosecution at the Domestic Level: The New Frontline of International Criminal Justice . . . . .	200
7.1.3	Institutional Preparedness of States to Prosecute International Crimes . . . . .	204
7.1.4	Corruption, Unemployment, Poverty and Bad Leadership . . . . .	206

7.2 Recommendations . . . . .	208
7.2.1 The Policy-Oriented Perspective and Complementarity . . . . .	208
7.2.2 Minimum Complementarity Threshold: National Implementation Strategies . . . . .	209
References . . . . .	215
<b>Cases, Legal Documents and Other Sources . . . . .</b>	<b>217</b>
International Instruments and Commentaries . . . . .	217
States' Laws . . . . .	219
Cases . . . . .	222
AU/UN Documents . . . . .	228