

# Contents

<b>1 Introduction</b> . . . . .	1
References . . . . .	6
<b>Part I Applicability of International Human Rights Law to the International Criminal Tribunals</b>	
<b>2 Human Rights Obligations of the ICTs under International Law</b> . . . . .	9
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	9
2.2 International Legal Personality of the ICTs . . . . .	10
2.3 Are International Organizations Bound by International Law? . . . . .	12
2.3.1 Transfer Thesis . . . . .	13
2.3.2 Subject Thesis . . . . .	20
2.4 The Meaning of ‘Being Bound’ by General IHRL . . . . .	26
2.4.1 Indeterminacy of ‘General International Law’ . . . . .	26
2.4.2 Inherent Flexibility of Human Rights Standards . . . . .	31
2.4.3 Permissibility of <i>Lex Specialis</i> . . . . .	38
2.5 Conclusion . . . . .	41
References . . . . .	42
<b>3 Human Rights Obligations of the ICTs Based on their Internal Law and Practice</b> . . . . .	47
3.1 Introduction . . . . .	47
3.2 The <i>ad hoc</i> Tribunals . . . . .	48
3.2.1 Internal Law and Practice . . . . .	48
3.2.2 Case Law . . . . .	59
3.3 The ICC . . . . .	64
3.3.1 Article 21(1): Applicable Law . . . . .	64
3.3.2 Article 21(3): Consistency with Internationally Recognized Human Rights . . . . .	73
3.4 Methodological Complications: Defining the Scope of ‘Internationally Recognized Human Rights’ . . . . .	91

3.5	Contextualization: Adapting Human Rights to the ICTs' Context. . . .	97
3.6	Conclusion . . . . .	104
	References . . . . .	105
<b>Part II The Interpretation and Application of Human Rights Norms by the International Criminal Tribunals</b>		
<b>4</b>	<b>The Right to Privacy and Investigative Measures . . . . .</b>	<b>113</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	113
4.2	IHRL Framework . . . . .	115
4.2.1	The Right to Privacy in the Context of Domestic Criminal Investigations. . . . .	116
4.2.2	The Right to Privacy in the Context of Inter-State Cooperation in Criminal Matters . . . . .	126
4.3	Privacy and Direct Coercive Action by the ICTs . . . . .	139
4.3.1	The ad hoc Tribunals . . . . .	139
4.3.2	The International Criminal Court . . . . .	146
4.4	Privacy and Coercive Measures Executed in Cooperation with States . . . . .	149
4.4.1	The ad hoc Tribunals . . . . .	151
4.4.2	The International Criminal Court . . . . .	166
4.5	Comparison and the ICTs' Use of IHRL . . . . .	179
4.5.1	Comparing the ICTs' Approach to the Right to Privacy to IHRL . . . . .	179
4.5.2	The ICTs' Use of IHRL on the Right to Privacy . . . . .	182
4.6	Conclusion . . . . .	184
	References . . . . .	186
<b>5</b>	<b>The Right to Liberty and Provisional Release . . . . .</b>	<b>189</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	189
5.2	IHRL Framework . . . . .	190
5.2.1	General Requirements . . . . .	191
5.2.2	Reasonable Suspicion. . . . .	196
5.2.3	Relevant and Sufficient Reasons for Detention . . . . .	196
5.2.4	Conclusion . . . . .	201
5.3	Provisional Release Before the ad hoc Tribunals . . . . .	201
5.3.1	The Original Requirement of 'Exceptional Circumstances' Justifying Release . . . . .	204
5.3.2	Requirements for Release. . . . .	210
5.3.3	Burden and Standard of Proof . . . . .	237
5.3.4	Comparison and the ad hoc Tribunals' Use of IHRL . . . . .	241
5.4	Interim Release Before the ICC . . . . .	249
5.4.1	Persistence of a Reasonable Suspicion. . . . .	255
5.4.2	Reasons Necessitating Arrest and Detention . . . . .	255
5.4.3	Conditional Release . . . . .	271
5.4.4	Review of the Length of Detention: Article 60(4) . . . . .	273

5.4.5	Burden and Standard of Proof . . . . .	276
5.4.6	Comparison and the ICC's Use of IHRL . . . . .	278
5.5	Conclusion . . . . .	284
	References . . . . .	286
<b>6</b>	<b>The Right to Be Tried Without Undue Delay . . . . .</b>	<b>289</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	289
6.2	IHRL Framework . . . . .	290
6.2.1	Scope of the Right to Be Tried Without Undue Delay . . . . .	292
6.2.2	Relevant Parameters to Determine a Violation . . . . .	293
6.2.3	Interim Conclusion . . . . .	301
6.3	Trial Without Undue Delay Before the ad hoc Tribunals . . . . .	302
6.3.1	Scope of the Right to Be Tried Without Undue Delay . . . . .	303
6.3.2	Relevant Parameters to Determine a Violation . . . . .	305
6.3.3	Comparison and the Tribunals' Use of IHRL . . . . .	332
6.4	Trial Without Undue Delay Before the ICC . . . . .	338
6.4.1	The Right to Be Tried Without Undue Delay in the Case Law of the ICC . . . . .	339
6.4.2	Analysis: Comparison and the ICC's Use of IHRL . . . . .	345
6.5	Conclusion . . . . .	348
	References . . . . .	350

### Part III Synthesis, Conclusion & Recommendations

<b>7</b>	<b>Adherence and Contextualization: Towards a Methodological Framework for the Interpretation and Application of Human Rights Norms . . . . .</b>	<b>355</b>
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	355
7.2	Adherence to IHRL . . . . .	356
7.2.1	Legislative Influence . . . . .	356
7.2.2	Consistent Interpretation . . . . .	358
7.3	Contextualization . . . . .	361
7.3.1	The Specific Context of International Criminal Justice . . . . .	362
7.3.2	Modalities of Contextualization . . . . .	371
7.4	Proper Contextualization of Human Rights Norms: A Methodology . . . . .	380
7.4.1	Determining the Applicable Human Rights Norm . . . . .	380
7.4.2	Determining the Nature, Scope, and Content of the Applicable Right . . . . .	384
7.4.3	Analyzing the Context in Which the Right Must Be Applied . . . . .	386
7.4.4	Interpreting and Applying the Right in the ICT Context . . . . .	387
7.4.5	The Methodological Framework in Practice . . . . .	388
7.5	Conclusion . . . . .	392
	References . . . . .	394

<b>8 Summary and Conclusion</b> .....	397
8.1 Part I: Applicability of IHRL to the ICTs. ....	398
8.2 Part II: Interpretation and Application of Human Rights by the ICTs .....	400
8.3 Part III: Towards a Methodological Framework. ....	402
References .....	406
<b>Annexes</b> .....	407
<b>Bibliography</b> .....	417
<b>Index</b> .....	433