

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Publication Series Preface</i>	i
<i>Foreword by Christian Tomuschat</i>	iii
1. On State Sovereignty and Individual Criminal Responsibility for Core International Crimes in International Law	1
<i>By Morten Bergsmo and LING Yan</i>	
2. The Life and Contributions of Professor LI Haopei.....	13
<i>By WANG Houli</i>	
3. Brief Analysis of a Few Controversial Issues in Contemporary International Criminal Law	21
<i>By ZHOU Lulu</i>	
3.1. The Crime of Aggression.....	22
3.1.1. The Definition of Crimes of Aggression.....	25
3.1.2. Precondition for the Court to Exercise Jurisdiction over Crimes of Aggression	29
3.2. Universal Jurisdiction	35
3.2.1. Background of the Question.....	35
3.2.2. Review and Analysis.....	38
3.2.2.1. What About Using the ‘Principle of Sovereignty’ as the Theoretical Basis of Universal Jurisdiction?.....	40
3.2.2.2. What About Taking the ‘Principle of Obligation <i>Erga Omnes</i> ’ (the Common Interest of Humanity) as the Theoretical Basis of Universal Jurisdiction?	42
3.2.2.3. What About the Theory of Combating Criminal Activity and Ensuring that Crimes Never Go Unpunished	

	Being the Theoretical Basis of Universal Jurisdiction?	43
	3.2.3. Case Analysis	45
3.3.	Criminal Immunity of State Officials Abroad.....	46
	3.3.1. The Institution of Immunity in International Law	46
	3.3.2. Analysis	49
	3.3.2.1. Regarding Criminal Immunity Enjoyed by State Officials in Other Countries	49
	3.3.2.2. The Criminal Immunity of State Officials before International Criminal Tribunals	51
4.	Has Non-Immunity for Heads of State Become a Rule of Customary International Law?	55
	<i>By LIU Daqun</i>	
	4.1. Challenge to the Rule of Heads of State Immunity.....	56
	4.2. Immunity before National Courts	60
	4.3. Immunity of the Head of State before International Courts and Tribunals	63
	4.4. The Difference between the International <i>ad hoc</i> Tribunals and the ICC	68
	4.5. Security Council Referrals	70
	4.6. Conclusion	73
5.	Immunity for State Officials from Foreign Jurisdiction for International Crimes	75
	<i>By JIA Bingbing</i>	
	5.1. Introduction	75
	5.2. Criminal <i>versus</i> Civil Jurisdiction.....	78
	5.3. Universal Jurisdiction	79
	5.4. Immunity for State Officials	82
	5.5. Immunity <i>versus</i> Treaty Crimes.....	86
	5.6. Immunity <i>versus</i> Violations of <i>Jus Cogens</i>	88
	5.7. International Jurisdiction.....	92
	5.8. Conclusions: A Hierarchy of Rules?.....	93

6.	International Criminal Court: A Judicial Guarantee for International Peace and Security?.....	97
	<i>By GUO Yang</i>	
6.1.	Introduction.....	97
6.2.	Definition of the Crime of Aggression	99
6.2.1.	Act of Aggression	101
6.2.2.	Crime of Aggression	107
6.2.2.1.	A Leadership Crime.....	108
6.2.2.2.	<i>Actus Reus</i> and <i>Mens Rea</i> of the Crime	110
6.2.2.3.	The Threshold Clause	112
6.2.3.	Understandings Regarding the Crime of Aggression: Is Humanitarian Intervention Excluded?.....	114
6.3.	Conditions for the Court's Jurisdiction over the Crime of Aggression.....	116
6.3.1.	Introduction	116
6.3.2.	Analysis.....	119
6.4.	Conclusion	125
7.	Universal Jurisdiction for Core International Crimes	129
	<i>By Erkki Kourula</i>	
7.1.	Introduction.....	129
7.2.	The Idea of Universal Jurisdiction.....	130
7.3.	Challenges in the Exercise of Universal Jurisdiction in Relation to Core International Crimes	131
8.	The Connotation of Universal Jurisdiction and its Application in the Criminal Law of China	149
	<i>By MA Chengyuan</i>	
8.1.	Introduction.....	149
8.2.	Universal Jurisdiction Based on Customary International Law	155
8.2.1.	Definition	155
8.2.2.	Characteristics	157
8.2.2.1.	The Subject of this Jurisdiction is Every State	157

	8.2.2.2.	This Jurisdiction Applies to Crimes in Customary International Law.....	159
	8.2.2.3.	This Jurisdiction Applies to Crimes Committed by Foreigners Abroad not Against this State or its Citizens	161
	8.2.2.4.	This Jurisdiction can be Exercised <i>in absentia</i>	162
	8.2.2.5.	Statutory Limitations are not Applicable when Exercising this Jurisdiction	163
	8.2.2.6.	International Crimes can be Prosecuted Retroactively when Applying this Jurisdiction.....	164
8.3.		Universal Jurisdiction Based on International Treaty	165
	8.3.1.	Definition	165
	8.3.2.	Characteristics	166
	8.3.2.1.	The Subject of this Jurisdiction is the States Parties of a Treaty	166
	8.3.2.2.	The Manifestation of this Jurisdiction is the Clause or Principle of <i>Aut Dedere Aut Judicare</i>	168
	8.3.2.3.	This Jurisdiction Applies to the Crimes Defined in International Treaties	171
	8.3.2.4.	This Jurisdiction cannot be Exercised <i>in absentia</i>	172
	8.3.2.5.	This Jurisdiction cannot be Exercised Retroactively	173
8.4.		Universal Jurisdiction Based on Domestic Law	174
	8.4.1.	Definition	174
	8.4.2.	Characteristics	177
	8.4.2.1.	The Object of this Jurisdiction is the Foreign Suspect Found in the State.....	177
	8.4.2.2.	This Jurisdiction Requires Inability to Extradite	178
	8.4.2.3.	This Jurisdiction Applies to All Crimes Able to be Extradited.....	178
	8.4.2.4.	This Jurisdiction has to Conform to the Principle of Double Criminality	179

8.5.	Application of Universal Jurisdiction in the Criminal Law of China	180
8.5.1.	Universal Jurisdiction in the Criminal Law of China.....	180
8.5.2.	Problems in Application of Universal Jurisdiction in China	182
8.5.2.1.	Universal Jurisdiction Based on Customary International Law is Not Incorporated in the Criminal Law of China	183
8.5.2.2.	China Violates its International Obligation by Not Transforming Crimes in International Treaties into its Criminal Law	183
8.5.3.3.	Universal Jurisdiction Prescribed in Article 9 Conflicts with the Principle of Legality in Article 3	185
8.5.3.4.	Prosecution of International Crime with Other Classification in the Criminal Law Violates the Principle of Prohibition of Analogy	186
8.6.	Conclusion	187
9.	Universal Jurisdiction Before the United Nations General Assembly: Seeking Common Understanding under International Law	191
	<i>By ZHU Lijiang</i>	
9.1.	Introduction.....	191
9.2.	The Way to New York.....	192
9.3.	Procedural Progress in New York.....	200
9.4.	Common Understanding in the UNGA.....	204
9.4.1.	<i>Opinio Juris</i>	206
9.4.1.1.	Definition of Universal Jurisdiction.....	207
9.4.1.2.	Rationale of Universal Jurisdiction.....	210
9.4.1.3.	Crimes Subject to Universal Jurisdiction.....	212
9.4.1.4.	Preconditions to the Exercise of Universal Jurisdiction.	216

9.4.1.5.	Establishment of an International Regulatory Body	219
9.4.2.	State Practice	220
9.5.	Concluding Remarks.....	221
10.	The International Criminal Court and Immunities under International Law for States Not Party to the Court's Statute.....	223
	<i>By Claus Kress</i>	
10.1.	Introduction.....	223
10.2.	The Decisions of 4 March 2009 and 12 December 2011 in the Case Against Al Bashir and the Dissent by the African Union Commission	227
10.3.	Legal Analysis.....	230
10.3.1.	An Initial Critique of the Decision of 4 March 2009	231
10.3.2.	Negotiating Article 98(1) of the ICC Statute	231
10.3.3.	The Purpose and the Operation of Article 98(1) of the ICC Statute and a Further Critique of the Decision of 4 March 2009.....	233
10.3.4.	The Immunities Covered by Article 98(1) of the Statute.....	236
10.3.5.	A Short Digression: Article 98(1) and the Immunity Rights of States Parties	238
10.3.6.	Article 98(1) of the Statute and International Law Immunities of Persons of States Not Party to the Statute	239
	10.3.6.1. The 'Security Council Avenue' for Arriving at an Exception to the International Law Immunity <i>Ratione Personae</i>	240
	10.3.6.2. The 'Customary Law Avenue' for Arriving at an Exception to the International Law Immunity <i>Ratione Personae</i>	243
10.4.	Concluding Remarks.....	262

Index.....	267
Torkel Opsahl Academic EPublisher	277
Other Volumes in the FICHL Publication Series.....	279