

## Contents

<i>Preface</i>	<i>page</i> xiii
<b>Introduction: Embodying Freedom</b>	1
<b>1. Laying Foundations</b>	7
I. A Reasonable Conception of the Good Life Will Involve an Understanding of Both Welfare and Right Action	7
II. Welfare Is Multidimensional	8
III. Reasonably Seeking to Flourish or to Help Another to Flourish Requires Recognition, Fairness, and Respect	25
IV. A Flourishing Life Is a Reasonable Life	40
<b>2. Rejecting Aggression</b>	44
I. Acting Reasonably Means Avoiding Aggression against Others and Their Justly Acquired Possessions	44
II. Aggression Involves Unreasonably Injuring Others' Bodies or Interfering with Their Just Possessory Interests	44
III. The Requirements of Practical Reasonableness Preclude Many Choices Causing Injuries to Basic Aspects of Welfare	45
IV. The Principle of Fairness Provides Good Reason to Avoid Interfering with Others' Justly Acquired Possessions	49
V. Just Possessory Claims Do Not Extend to Other Sentients or to Abstract Patterns	89
VI. Arguments for Exceptionless Possessory Claims Seem Unpersuasive	132
VII. Key Requirements of Practical Reasonableness Can Be Encapsulated in the Nonaggression Maxim	153

<b>3.</b>	<b>Safeguarding Cooperation</b>	157
	I. The State Is Inimical to Peaceful, Voluntary Cooperation	157
	II. Peaceful, Voluntary Cooperation Is an Aspect of and a Crucial Precondition for a Flourishing Life	157
	III. State Actors' Refusal to Cooperate with Others on a Peaceful, Voluntary Basis Is Highly Problematic	159
	IV. The State Is Not Needed to Ensure Peaceful, Voluntary Cooperation	165
	V. The State Is Not Needed to Ensure Peaceful, Voluntary Cooperation in the Production of Crucial Public Goods	184
	VI. The State Is Dangerous	208
	VII. Embracing Peaceful, Voluntary Cooperation Means Rejecting the State	232
	Appendix: The Fact That General Preemptive Defense Is a Public Good Does Not Serve as a Plausible Justification for the State	234
<b>4.</b>	<b>Enforcing Law</b>	242
	I. Forcibly Imposing Legal Requirements in a Stateless Society Is Not Objectionable on the Same Grounds as Aggression by the State	242
	II. There Might Seem to Be a Tension between Opposing the State and Supporting the Idea of Law	243
	III. Legal Codes in a Stateless Society Would Have Varied Sources and Contents, but Might Exhibit Common Features	244
	IV. Resolving Disputes between Participants in Structured Legal Regimes Need Not Involve State-Like Injustice	249
	V. The Reality of Moral Constraints on Legal Rules Would Render the Notion of Consent Noncircular and Would Be Compatible with Legal Polycentricity	250
	VI. A Regime Could Forcibly Resolve Conflicts with Outlaws without Becoming Morally Indistinguishable from a State	257
	VII. A Legal Regime in a Stateless Society Would Be Morally Distinguishable from a State in Important Ways	261
<b>5.</b>	<b>Rectifying Injury</b>	263
	I. Just Legal Regimes in a Stateless Society Can Effectively Prevent, End, and Remedy Injuries	263
	II. Just Legal Regimes Would Use Civil Rather than Criminal Justice Mechanisms to Rectify Injuries	263

III. A Just Legal Regime in a Stateless Society Could Rectify Environmentally Mediated Injuries as well as Injuries to Nonhuman Animals and Vulnerable Human Persons	302
IV. Just Legal Regimes Can Rectify Injuries without the Involvement of the State	318
<b>6. Liberating Society</b>	320
I. Just Legal Rules and Institutions in a Stateless Society Could Facilitate Liberating Social Change Using Nonaggressive Means	320
II. Techniques for Fostering Social Change Need Not Be Aggressive	321
III. Just Legal Rules and Institutions in a Stateless Society Would Further Wealth Redistribution	328
IV. Rectifying Injustice Could Help to Create Alternatives to Workplace Hierarchies	351
V. A Stateless Society's Legal Order Would Foster the Emergence of a Free Culture	362
VI. Just Legal Rules in a Stateless Society Would Conduce to Positive but Nonaggressive Social Change	376
<b>7. Situating Liberation</b>	378
I. Just Legal Rules and Institutions in a Stateless Society Would Embody Leftist, Anticapitalist, and Socialist Values	378
II. The Project of Creating a Stateless Society with Just Legal Rules and Institutions Is a Leftist Project	378
III. The Project of Building a Stateless Society with Just Legal Rules and Institutions Is an Anticapitalist Project	386
IV. The Project of Fostering a Stateless Society with Just Legal Rules Can Reasonably Be Described as Socialist	397
V. The Model of Stateless Law Outlined Here Embodies a Distinctively Leftist, Anticapitalist, and Socialist Antistatistism	405
<b>Conclusion: Ordering Anarchy</b>	407
<i>Index</i>	411
<i>About the Author</i>	415