

Contents

Part I The Internationally Wrongful Act of State

| | | |
|----------|---|----|
| 1 | Historical Background of the Criminalization of Aggression | 3 |
| 1.1 | An Overview of the <i>jus ad bellum</i> Before World War II | 8 |
| 1.1.1 | The Ancient World | 8 |
| 1.1.2 | The Middle Ages | 15 |
| 1.1.3 | Impact of the Napoleonic Wars and of the 1814–1815 Vienna Congress. | 23 |
| 1.1.4 | Carl von Clausewitz’s “On War” | 24 |
| 1.1.5 | Impact of the 1899 and 1907 Hague Peace Conferences | 25 |
| 1.1.6 | Evolution of International Legal Attitudes Toward War Under the Aegis of the League of Nations | 28 |
| 1.2 | Evolution of the Concept of Aggression After World War II | 38 |
| 1.2.1 | Work Accomplished by the United Nations War Crimes Commission | 38 |
| 1.2.2 | London Agreement of 8 August 1945 and the Charter of the Nuremberg International Military Tribunal | 40 |
| 1.2.3 | International Military Tribunal for the Far East | 43 |
| 1.2.4 | Allied Control Council Law № 10. | 45 |
| 1.2.5 | Attitudes Within the United Nations System | 46 |
| 1.2.6 | Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court | 56 |
| | References. | 62 |
| 2 | Elements of an Act of Aggression: An Overview of Modern International Law and Practice | 75 |
| 2.1 | Nature of States’ Obligation to Refrain from the Threat or Use of Force in International Relations (Article 2(4) of the UN Charter) | 78 |
| 2.1.1 | Treaty Obligation Under Article 2(4). | 79 |
| 2.1.2 | Obligation Under Customary International Law | 84 |
| 2.1.3 | <i>Jus cogens</i> Obligation. | 91 |

| | | |
|-------|---|-----|
| 2.2 | Aggression as a Serious Breach of a Peremptory Norm of General International Law | 98 |
| 2.2.1 | Aggression as a Serious Breach of an Obligation Arising Under Article 2(4) of the Charter of the United Nations | 100 |
| 2.2.2 | Attribution of Aggression to a State Under International Law | 103 |
| 2.3 | Elements of an Act of Aggression Under the 1974 Definition of Aggression | 104 |
| 2.3.1 | “Chapeau” of the Definition | 105 |
| 2.3.2 | Examples of Acts of Aggression | 106 |
| 2.3.3 | Non-Exhaustive Character of the List | 107 |
| 2.3.4 | The Problem of the “First Use” of Force | 108 |
| 2.3.5 | The Discretionary Power of the UN Security Council | 108 |
| 2.4 | Exceptions to the Prohibition of the Use of Force | 109 |
| 2.4.1 | Charter-Based Exceptions | 110 |
| 2.4.2 | Charter-Related Exceptions | 122 |
| 2.4.3 | Extra-Charter Exceptions | 126 |
| | References | 133 |

Part II The Individual Crime

| | | |
|----------|--|------------|
| 3 | International Legal Foundations of the Individual Criminal Responsibility for the Crime of Aggression | 147 |
| 3.1 | Individual Criminal Responsibility for Aggression Committed by a State | 149 |
| 3.1.1 | Nuremberg Judgment | 149 |
| 3.1.2 | Tokyo Judgment | 161 |
| 3.1.3 | Trials Under the Control Council Law № 10 | 180 |
| 3.1.4 | Draft Code of Offences Against the Peace and Security of Mankind | 191 |
| 3.1.5 | Draft Code of Crimes Against the Peace and Security of Mankind | 193 |
| 3.1.6 | The Crime of Aggression in the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court | 195 |
| 3.1.7 | Individual Criminal Responsibility for the Crime of Aggression: Towards the Revival of a Legal Regime? | 195 |
| | References | 196 |
| 4 | The Principal Approaches Towards the Criminalisation of Aggression at the National Level | 199 |
| 4.1 | Overview of National Criminal Laws Proscribing the Crime of Aggression | 202 |
| 4.1.1 | The “Nuremberg and Tokyo Model” | 202 |
| 4.1.2 | The “Territorial Integrity or Political Independence Model” | 207 |

- 4.1.3 The “Objective War Model” 212
- 4.1.4 The “Treason Model” 217
- 4.2 Aggression as a “Leadership Crime” 222
 - 4.2.1 Exclusion of Lower Ranking State Agents. 222
 - 4.2.2 Role of Political Leaders 223
 - 4.2.3 Role of Military Leaders 224
 - 4.2.4 Role of Economic Leaders 224
 - 4.2.5 Role of Other (Religious, Social) Leaders 225
- 4.3 The *corpus delicti* of the Crime of Aggression Under Customary International Law: Material Elements 226
 - 4.3.1 The Structure of the *corpus delicti* of the Crime of Aggression 226
- 4.4 The Qualification of “Propaganda for War” as a Separate Crime . . . 233
- 4.5 The *corpus delicti* of the Crime of Aggression Under Customary International Law: Mental Element 236
 - 4.5.1 Occupation of Another State 237
 - 4.5.2 Annexation of Another State 239
 - 4.5.3 Subjugation of Another State 239
 - 4.5.4 Involving Another State in War 240
 - 4.5.5 Conspiracy 240
- 4.6 Mechanisms of Enforcement 241
 - 4.6.1 Indirect Enforcement (by National Courts) 243
 - 4.6.2 Direct Enforcement (by the International Criminal Court) . . . 249
- References 250

- 5 The Crime of Aggression in the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court 253**
 - 5.1 The Definition of the Crime of Aggression for the Purpose of the Rome Statute (Article 8 bis) 255
 - 5.1.1 Structure of the Rome Statute’s Definition of the Crime of Aggression 256
 - 5.1.2 Conditions for the Entry into Force of Article 8 bis 274
 - 5.2 The Crime of Aggression in the Context of the “General Part” of International Criminal Law 275
 - 5.2.1 Nullum crimen sine lege 276
 - 5.2.2 Nulla poena sine lege 277
 - 5.2.3 Non-Retroactivity *ratione personae* 281
 - 5.2.4 Individual Criminal Responsibility 281
 - 5.2.5 Exclusion of Jurisdiction Over Persons Under Eighteen . . . 288
 - 5.2.6 Irrelevance of Official Capacity 289
 - 5.2.7 Responsibility of Commanders and Other Superiors 290
 - 5.2.8 Non-Applicability of Statute of Limitations 292
 - 5.2.9 Mental Element 293
 - 5.2.10 Grounds for Excluding Criminal Responsibility 293
 - 5.2.11 Mistake of Fact or Mistake of Law 295
 - 5.2.12 Superior Orders and Prescription of Law 297

- 5.3 Exercise of Jurisdiction Over the Crime of Aggression 298
 - 5.3.1 Continued Relevance of the Principle of Complementarity 298
 - 5.3.2 State Referral, *proprio motu* (Article 15 bis) 300
 - 5.3.3 Security Council Referral (Article 15 ter) 311
- 5.4 The Elements of Crimes for the Crime of Aggression 312
- References 314

- 6 Conclusion 321**
 - References 326

- Index 327**