

# Table of Contents

<i>Table of Cases</i>	xi
<i>Table of Instruments</i>	xxv
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xxxii
<i>Frequently Abbreviated Sources</i>	xxxiii
<i>List of Contributors</i>	xxxvii

## I. PLURALISM: CONCEPTUAL PERSPECTIVES

<b>1. Pluralism: A New Framework for International Criminal Justice</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>Elies van Sliedregt and Sergey Vasiliev</i>	
1. Capturing Complexity and Mapping Diversity	3
2. ‘Pluralism’ and its Relevance to ICL	7
3. Pluralist Perspectives on ICL	17
4. Approach and Structure	34
<b>2. Legal Transplants or Legal Patchworking? The Creation of International Criminal Law as a Pluralistic Body of Law</b>	<b>39</b>
<i>Cassandra Steer</i>	
1. Introduction	39
2. Legal Transplants as Formants of Law	42
3. Legal Patchworking and Legal Pluralism in ICL	54
4. Harmonization and Pluralism	60
5. What Can Be Learned from the Scholarship on Legal Pluralism?	63
6. Conclusions: How Can Comparative Law Scholarship Benefit ICL?	64
<b>3. The Curious Criminality of Mass Atrocity: Diverse Actors, Multiple Truths, and Plural Responses</b>	<b>68</b>
<i>Mark A. Drumbl</i>	
1. Introduction	68
2. Ndahimana and the Nyange Parish Massacre: Background	70
3. Trial Judgment: Guilty, Not Guilty, and Why	73
4. Sentence	88
5. An Interrogative Bent: Insights For and From Pluralism	95
6. Conclusion	102

## II. HORIZONTAL PLURALISM

<b>4. Organizational Criminality</b>	<b>107</b>
<i>Jens David Ohlin</i>	
1. Introduction	107

2. The Common Law Pole	107
3. The Civil Law Response	112
4. Collectivism as a Third Way	116
5. Collective Attribution	122
6. Conclusion	126
<b>5. Pluralism in Theories of Liability: Joint Criminal Enterprise versus Joint Perpetration</b>	<b>128</b>
<i>Marjolein Cupido</i>	
1. Introduction	128
2. The Debate on JCE and Joint Perpetration	130
3. JCE and the ‘Common Plan’ Element	134
4. Joint Perpetration and the ‘Common Plan’ Element	143
5. Implications of the Case Law Analysis	150
6. Reconsidering the Nature of JCE and Joint Perpetration	152
7. Conclusion	158
<b>6. Fragmentation and Harmonization in the Development of Evidentiary Practices in International Criminal Tribunals</b>	<b>159</b>
<i>John D. Jackson and Yassin M. Brunger</i>	
1. Introduction	159
2. Procedural Convergence	162
3. The Diversity of Practice and the Challenge of Harmonization	166
4. Conclusion: The Limits to Harmonization	183
<b>7. Consistency and Pluralism of International Sentencing: An Empirical Assessment of the ICTY and ICTR Practice</b>	<b>187</b>
<i>Barbora Holá</i>	
1. Introduction	187
2. Sentencing in Positive Law of the ICTY and ICTR	189
3. Consistency and Pluralism of International Sentencing at the ICTY and ICTR	190
4. Evaluation of the ICTY and ICTR Sentencing Practice	194
5. Lessons Learned—the Need for International Sentencing Guidelines	203
6. Conclusion	206
<b>III. VERTICAL PLURALISM</b>	
<b>8. National Adjudication of International Crimes: A Dutch Approach</b>	<b>211</b>
<i>Ruth A. Kok</i>	
1. Introduction	211
2. Extraterritorial International Crimes	211
3. Authorities Concerned with International Crimes	213
4. Legal Framework on International Crimes	216

5. Interpretation of International Crimes by Dutch Judges	218
6. Decision by the Supreme Court in the Case of Abdullah F	219
7. Concluding Observations	223
<b>9. Pluralism and the Rights of the Accused in International Criminal Proceedings</b>	<b>225</b>
<i>Alexander Zahar</i>	
1. Introduction	225
2. Rights Pluralism	226
3. Damaška and the Contextualized Standard of Fairness	227
4. Refutability of Charges at the International Tribunals	231
5. Sheer Volume of Evidence	233
6. Indeterminate Quality and Accuracy of Proof	238
7. The Logic of Joint Criminal Enterprise	242
8. A Judiciary Overwhelmed	244
9. Conclusion: Affection for Justice	247
<b>10. The Nature of International Crimes and Evidentiary Challenges: Preserving Quality While Managing Quantity</b>	<b>251</b>
<i>Elinor Fry</i>	
1. Introduction	251
2. The Nature of International Crimes: Differentiating Factors	253
3. Quantity Affects Quality	266
4. Conclusion	271
<b>11. Evidentiary Challenges for the Defence: Domestic and International Prosecutions of International Crimes</b>	<b>273</b>
<i>Wayne Jordash QC and Matthew R. Crowe</i>	
1. Introduction	273
2. Uniformity of Evidentiary Challenges	276
3. Evidentiary Challenges of the Future: The Need for a Harmonized Approach	289
4. Conclusion	295
<b>IV. HARMONIZATION, UNIFORMITY, OR HEGEMONY?</b>	
<b>12. Establishing Degrees of Responsibility: Modes of Participation in Article 25 of the ICC Statute</b>	<b>301</b>
<i>Gerhard Werle and Boris Burghardt</i>	
1. Introduction	301
2. General Observations on the Role of Modes of Participation	302
3. Modes of Participation in the ICC Statute	306
4. Article 25(3)(a)–(d)—A Differentiation Model Distinguishing Four Levels of Responsibility	315
5. Conclusion	318

<b>13. Ten Reasons for Adopting a Universal Concept of Participation in Atrocity</b>	<b>320</b>
<i>James G. Stewart</i>	
1. Introduction	320
2. Ensuring a (More) Level Playing Field	323
3. Restraining Illiberal Excess	325
4. Preventing Arbitrary Choices of Criminal Law	326
5. Establishing Clear Standards	327
6. Neutral Standards Elected, Not Imposed	330
7. Abandoning Custom as a Source of Law Governing Criminal Responsibility	332
8. Overcoming Western Technocratic Legalese	334
9. A Didactic Function for Western States Too	335
10. Enabling Practice	337
11. Cost Savings	339
12. Conclusion	341
<b>14. Collective Intentions and Individual Criminal Responsibility in International Criminal Law</b>	<b>342</b>
<i>Javid Gadirov</i>	
1. Introduction and Background	342
2. Collective Action and International Crimes: Scholarly Debates	343
3. Responsibility for Collective Perpetration and Article 25(3)(a) of the Rome Statute	346
4. Collective Intentions and Agency	351
5. Causal Responsibility and Collective Intentions	356
6. Collective Intentions and Moral Blameworthiness	362
7. Conclusion	365
<b>15. Evidence and Selection of Judges in International Criminal Tribunals: The Need for a Harmonized Approach</b>	<b>368</b>
<i>H.H. Judge Peter Murphy and Lina Baddour</i>	
1. Introduction	368
2. The Evidentiary Challenges of International Criminal Trials	369
3. The Development of Rules of Evidence in ICL	372
4. The Potential Balancing Role of Rules of Evidence	380
5. Selecting and Training Judges Who Can Deal with Evidence	383
6. Conclusion	389
<i>Bibliography</i>	391
<i>Index</i>	423