
TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>vii</i>
<i>Table of Cases</i>	<i>xiii</i>
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	<i>xxxv</i>
1. Introduction	1
I. What is 'Administrative Law'?	1
II. The Book's Three Main Aims.....	6
A. The 'Anatomy' of Administrative Law: Three Core Senses of Complexity and Variety.....	7
B. Administrative Law's Complex and Varied Anatomy in Context: Three Case Studies.....	10
C. Questioning Monism	12
III. The Trajectory of Argument: An Overview of the Book's Chapters	14
IV. Scope.....	18
V. Conclusion	23
2. The Development of Modern Administrative Law	25
I. Administrative Law's Modern History.....	26
A. 1885: The Early Views of Albert Venn Dicey.....	29
B. 1910s: The Liberal Reforms, Dicey's Later Works and the First World War	38
C. 1920s and 1930s: The Aftermath of the War, Making Sense of the Enlarged Administrative State and the Donoughmore Report	42
D. 1940s: The Second World War and the Atlee Government	45
E. 1950s and 1960s: The First Major Textbooks and the 'Holy Trinity' of Cases but Still no Administrative Law?	49
F. 1970s Onwards: Procedural Reform and the Evolution of the Grounds of Review.....	54
II. Two Core Lessons	58
A. Lesson 1: The Complexity of Administrative Law's History	58
B. Lesson 2: Three Senses of Complexity and Variety in Administrative Law's 'Anatomy'	61
III. Conclusion	63

3. The Anatomy of Administrative Law	65
I. First Sense of Complexity and Variety: The Interrelationship of Administrative Law Doctrine and the Legislative Framework in the Background of the Case	66
II. Second Sense of Complexity and Variety: Administrative Law Protects an Array of Different Values, Purposes and Interests	77
III. Third Sense of Complexity and Variety: Administrative Law Adjudication Concerns Different Kinds of Legal Relationship	83
IV. Conclusion	89
4. Procedural Review	91
I. What is 'Procedural Review'?	93
II. The Evolution of Procedural Review	95
III. Why is it Proving Difficult to Develop an Overarching Account of Procedural Fairness?	100
A. The Importance of the Legislative Framework	100
B. The Plurality of Values, Interests and Policies Which Administrative Law Protects.....	103
C. The Array of Legal Relationships in Play in Administrative Law Challenges	109
IV. Do the Difficulties in Developing a General Account of Procedural Review Indicate a Lack of Structure in Judicial Reasoning?	112
A. 'Procedural Codes' as a Source of Structure	116
B. Patterns in How Common Law Interacts with Procedural Codes.....	121
C. Summary	127
V. Conclusion	128
5. Legitimate Expectations	130
I. What is a 'Legitimate Expectations' Case?.....	132
II. The Development of Legitimate Expectations	134
III. Why is an Overarching Explanation of Legitimate Expectations Proving Elusive?	142
A. The Importance of the Legislative Framework	142
B. The Plurality of Values, Interests and Policies which Administrative Law Protects.....	144
C. The Array of Legal Relationships in Play in Administrative Law Challenges.....	147
IV. Is the Law Characterised by Unpredictability, Incoherence and Lack of Judicial Restraint?	150
A. First Scenario: Assurance Directly Communicated to Individual.....	153

B.	Second Scenario: Alleged Failure to Apply Policy	156
C.	Third Scenario: Change of Policy without Transitional Provision for a Group.....	159
D.	Fourth Scenario: Public Statement of Intention.....	161
E.	Does the Law Lack Predictability, Structure and Restraint?.....	163
F.	Two Clarifications.....	165
V.	Conclusion	169
6.	Standing.....	171
I.	The Evolution of Standing.....	172
A.	Pre-1977.....	173
B.	The Law Commission Report and the 1977 Procedural Reforms.....	177
C.	The <i>Fleet Street Casuals</i> Case.....	178
D.	Post- <i>Fleet Street Casuals</i>	180
II.	Why has a Singular Approach to Standing not Emerged?.....	186
A.	The Importance of the Legislative Framework.....	187
B.	The Plurality of Values, Interests and Policies Which Administrative Law Protects.....	191
C.	The Array of Legal Relationships in Play in Administrative Law Challenges	195
III.	How do the Courts Determine Whether an Applicant has a Sufficient Interest?	197
A.	The Courts Make Use of Different Approaches to Standing in Relation to Different Legal Arguments.....	198
B.	The Courts May Use a ‘Rights-Based Approach’ in Some Cases	200
C.	Standing to Rely on One Ground May not Entail Standing to Rely on All.....	204
D.	The ‘Ground-Dependence’ of Standing	206
IV.	Conclusion	207
7.	Monism.....	209
I.	Two Monistic Accounts of Administrative Law and their Deficiencies.....	211
A.	‘The Public Interest Conception’	212
B.	Jurisdiction Theory.....	220
II.	The Appeals of Monism	227
A.	The Kernel of Truth in Monistic Accounts	229
B.	The Equation of Intelligibility, Coherence and Unity.....	230
C.	Constraining Judges.....	237
D.	Solving Administrative Law’s ‘Identity Crisis’	241
III.	Conclusion	246

8. Conclusion	247
I. The Three Main Aims of the Book Revisited.....	247
II. Practical Implications.....	252
III. Final Words.....	256
<i>Index</i>	259