

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b> . . . . .	1
1.1	Research Question . . . . .	6
1.2	Scope of This Study . . . . .	7
1.3	Structure of This Study . . . . .	9
1.4	Contribution to the Existing Body of Literature . . . . .	9
	References . . . . .	10
<b>2</b>	<b>Why Do Customs Unions Contain Rules on Anti-Dumping?</b> . . . . .	11
2.1	Why Are Anti-Dumping Measures Legal? . . . . .	12
2.1.1	To Counteract Predatory Pricing . . . . .	12
2.1.2	For Political Reasons . . . . .	13
2.1.3	To Counteract Injurious Exploitation of Asymmetric Market Access . . . . .	15
2.2	Why Are Customs Unions Entered Into? . . . . .	17
2.2.1	Why Does Regional or Bilateral Economic Integration Happen Generally? . . . . .	17
2.2.2	Why Specifically in the Form of Customs Unions? . . . . .	19
2.3	Why Do Customs Unions Modify Anti-Dumping Legislation Internally? . . . . .	21
2.3.1	Predatory Pricing: Replacement by Competition Law . . . . .	21
2.3.2	Political Necessity: Pressure Valve . . . . .	23
2.3.3	Asymmetric Market Access . . . . .	23
2.3.4	Conclusions . . . . .	24
2.4	Why Do Customs Unions Modify Anti-Dumping Measures Externally? . . . . .	24
2.4.1	Transaction Costs . . . . .	25
2.4.2	Transition Costs . . . . .	27
2.4.3	Conclusions . . . . .	28
	References . . . . .	28

<b>3</b>	<b>Practice in Customs Union</b> . . . . .	33
3.1	Categorizing the Different Modifications . . . . .	39
3.2	Methodology . . . . .	43
3.3	Internal Practice . . . . .	45
3.3.1	Prohibition of Internal Anti-Dumping Measures . . . . .	47
3.3.2	Disincentivizing Anti-Dumping Measures . . . . .	49
3.3.3	Procedural Integration . . . . .	51
3.3.4	Conclusions . . . . .	59
3.4	External Practice . . . . .	59
3.4.1	Investigation Stage . . . . .	63
3.4.2	Imposition Decision . . . . .	68
3.4.3	Scope of Investigation and Measure . . . . .	73
3.4.4	Conclusions . . . . .	75
3.5	Associated Problems . . . . .	76
3.5.1	Membership in Several RTAs . . . . .	76
3.5.2	Non-preferential Rules of Origin . . . . .	82
3.5.3	Anti-Dumping Action on Behalf of a Third Country . . . . .	83
3.6	Conclusions . . . . .	85
	References . . . . .	86
<b>4</b>	<b>WTO Framework</b> . . . . .	89
4.1	Breach of WTO Provisions . . . . .	90
4.1.1	Violation of Art. VI GATT, ADA . . . . .	91
4.1.2	Violation of the MFN Principle . . . . .	97
4.1.3	Conclusions . . . . .	104
4.2	Justifications According to WTO Provisions . . . . .	106
4.2.1	Sources of Law . . . . .	106
4.2.2	Art. XXIV:5 GATT . . . . .	108
4.2.3	Enabling Clause . . . . .	128
4.3	Justification According to the RTA: Resolving the Conflict of Laws . . . . .	139
4.3.1	Third Party Claims . . . . .	139
4.3.2	Claims by Members of the Customs Union . . . . .	140
4.4	Customs Unions with Non-WTO Members . . . . .	141
4.5	Conclusions . . . . .	143
	References . . . . .	144
<b>5</b>	<b>Responsibility for and Attribution of Anti-Dumping Measures</b> . . . . .	149
5.1	What Is Responsibility and What Is Attribution? . . . . .	151
5.1.1	Definitions in International Law . . . . .	151
5.1.2	Responsibility, Attribution, International Obligations and Anti-Dumping Measures . . . . .	152
5.1.3	Practical Relevance of Responsibility and Attribution . . . . .	155
5.2	Applicable Law . . . . .	156
5.2.1	Special Rules of Responsibility in WTO Law . . . . .	157

5.2.2	Special Rules of Responsibility in the Internal Laws of the Customs Unions . . . . .	158
5.2.3	Conclusions . . . . .	161
5.3	Degrees of Centralization . . . . .	161
5.4	Attribution of Anti-Dumping Measures to Customs Unions . . . . .	162
5.4.1	International Organizations . . . . .	163
5.4.2	Art. 6 ARIO: Organs or Agent of the International Organization . . . . .	164
5.4.3	Art. 7 ARIO: State Organs at the Disposal of an International Organization . . . . .	177
5.4.4	Art. 9 ARIO: Conduct Acknowledged and Adopted by an International Organization . . . . .	179
5.4.5	Chapter IV: Subsidiary Responsibility . . . . .	180
5.4.6	Conclusions . . . . .	183
5.5	Attribution of Anti-Dumping Measures to the Member States of Customs Unions . . . . .	184
5.5.1	Art. 4 ARSWIA: Organs or Agents of a State . . . . .	185
5.5.2	Art. 11 ARSIWA: Conduct Acknowledged and Adopted by a State . . . . .	195
5.5.3	Part Five ARIO: Subsidiary Responsibility . . . . .	196
5.5.4	Conclusions . . . . .	197
5.6	Relationship of the Responsibilities . . . . .	197
5.7	Conclusions . . . . .	199
	References . . . . .	200
<b>6</b>	<b>Economic Integration and Economic Disintegration . . . . .</b>	<b>205</b>
6.1	Case Studies: Economic Integration . . . . .	206
6.1.1	Measures of the State That Loses Its Competences . . . . .	212
6.1.2	Interim Reviews: EU Case Law & the Commission's Opinion . . . . .	215
6.1.3	Conclusions . . . . .	221
6.2	Case Study: Economic Disintegration (Brexit) . . . . .	222
6.2.1	Before Leaving the EU . . . . .	223
6.2.2	During the Transition Period . . . . .	224
6.2.3	After the Transition Period . . . . .	225
6.2.4	Conclusions . . . . .	232
6.3	WTO Law Limits . . . . .	232
6.3.1	Which Measures Apply Following Economic Integration? . . . . .	232
6.3.2	Which Measures Apply Following Economic Disintegration . . . . .	235
6.3.3	Can the Territorial Scope of Anti-Dumping Measures Change? . . . . .	236
6.3.4	Must the Applicable Measures Be Reviewed? . . . . .	243
6.4	Conclusions . . . . .	255
	References . . . . .	256

<b>7 Anti-Dumping Measures Against Customs Unions . . . . .</b>	<b>261</b>
7.1 Scope of Anti-Dumping Measures Applied Against a Customs Union or a Member Thereof . . . . .	263
7.1.1 Member Practice . . . . .	263
7.1.2 Anti-Dumping Measures Imposed Against Member States of a Customs Union . . . . .	264
7.1.3 Anti-Dumping Measures Imposed Against Customs Unions . . . . .	265
7.2 Effects of Economic Integration and Disintegration . . . . .	268
7.2.1 Anti-Dumping Measures Against an Enlarging Customs Union . . . . .	268
7.2.2 Anti-Dumping Measures Following Withdrawal . . . . .	269
7.3 Conclusions . . . . .	270
References . . . . .	271
<b>8 Conclusions . . . . .</b>	<b>273</b>
<b>Cited Treaties and Legislation . . . . .</b>	<b>277</b>
General International Law . . . . .	277
WTO Law . . . . .	277
Regional Agreements & Customs Union Law . . . . .	278
CACM . . . . .	278
CAN . . . . .	278
CARICOM . . . . .	278
CEMAC . . . . .	278
COMESA . . . . .	279
EAC . . . . .	279
EAEU and Predecessor . . . . .	279
ECOWAS . . . . .	280
EU and Predecessor . . . . .	281
EU – Andorra . . . . .	286
EU – San Marino . . . . .	286
EU – Turkey . . . . .	286
GCC . . . . .	286
MERCOSUR . . . . .	286
SACU . . . . .	287
WAEMU . . . . .	287
Other . . . . .	287
National Law . . . . .	288
<b>Cited Cases . . . . .</b>	<b>291</b>
WTO & GATT Panels . . . . .	291
Other . . . . .	294
<b>Official Documents . . . . .</b>	<b>297</b>
WTO & GATT Documents . . . . .	297
Other . . . . .	303