

# Contents

<b>1 Introduction: Beyond the Practice-Norm Gap</b> .....	1
1.1 A <i>Critical</i> Constructivist Approach .....	1
1.2 The Current Practice-Norm Gap .....	4
1.3 Redressing the Gap .....	6
1.4 The Structure of the Book .....	11
References .....	12
<b>2 Sovereignty and the Life-Cycle of Norms Revisited</b> .....	17
2.1 Introduction .....	17
2.2 Sovereignty: Beyond the Norm/Fact Incommensurability .....	18
2.3 The Westphalian Model of Sovereign Equality Discarded Once and for All .....	24
2.3.1 The Peace of Westphalia .....	25
2.3.2 The United Nations and Decolonization .....	27
2.4 Norm Development in International Society .....	31
2.4.1 Constructivism and Norm Development: Strengths and Shortcomings .....	32
2.4.2 Beyond Critique: Norm Development Re-apprised .....	35
References .....	39
<b>3 Shaping Sovereignty as Responsibility at the ICC (Part I): The Rome Statute</b> .....	47
3.1 Introduction: Between ‘Sovereignty-Limiting’ Rationale and ‘Sovereignty-Based’ Operation .....	47
3.2 The Institutional Architecture of the Rome Statute: Kowtowing to State Sovereignty .....	48
3.2.1 A Consent Regime .....	48
3.2.2 The Role of State Parties in Financial Oversight: From the Withholding of Resources to the Steering of Prosecutorial Discretion .....	51
3.2.3 The Lack of a Reliable International Enforcement Mechanism .....	53

3.3	Selecting Situations and Cases Before the ICC: The Contours of the Problem .....	56
3.3.1	Gravity and Its Muddy Waters: Between Legal Minimum Threshold and Discretionary Criterion .....	58
3.3.2	The Inescapable Ambivalence of Complementarity .....	64
3.3.3	The Continuing Mystery of the Interests of Justice .....	70
3.3.4	The Role of Judicial Review: Between Statutory Shortcomings, Missed Opportunities, and Structural Limits .....	72
3.4	Conclusions: The Untenability of Pure Legalism .....	76
	References .....	77
<b>4</b>	<b>Shaping Sovereignty as Responsibility at the ICC (Part II): The Test of Institutional Practice .....</b>	<b>85</b>
4.1	Introduction: From Sovereignty as Responsibility to Irresponsible Sovereignty .....	85
4.2	A Tilt Towards ‘Sovereign-Backed’ Situations .....	86
4.2.1	Preliminary Examinations, Politics, and Pragmatism .....	89
4.3	Case Selection and the Court’s Blind Eye .....	93
4.3.1	Self-Referrals and the Hobbesian Turn Laid Bare .....	93
4.3.2	An ad hoc Court of the UNSC .....	101
4.4	The Persistent Failure to Successfully Prosecute Representatives of State Power .....	104
4.4.1	The Sudanese Stalemate .....	105
4.4.2	The Collapse of the Kenyan Cases .....	109
4.4.3	A New Course in the ICC’s Prosecutorial Strategy? More ‘Willing’ but Not Any More ‘Able’ .....	113
4.5	Conclusions: A Full-Blown Short-Circuit .....	119
	References .....	121
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusions. Irresponsible Sovereignty: A Dead-End? .....</b>	<b>133</b>
5.1	In Defence of a Greater Supranationalism? .....	133
5.2	The Role of Coercion in International Law: Another Round-Up .....	134
5.3	Sovereignty as Responsibility: A Re-Politicized Perspective .....	138
5.3.1	The Slippery Slope of Supranationalism .....	143
5.4	Closing Remarks .....	145
	References .....	149