

# Contents

<i>Acknowledgements</i> .....	<i>vii</i>
<b>Introduction</b> .....	<b>1</b>
I. Aims and Structure of the Work.....	9
<b>1. Law, Power, and Political Authority. On the Scope and Limitations of the Work</b> .....	<b>17</b>
I. Introduction .....	17
II. Brief Methodological Remarks .....	19
III. The Province of the Problem Determined: What is Law?.....	23
IV. Politics, Political Power, Political Authority.....	26
V. From Powers to Power. The Familiar Tale of the Ineluctability of the State.....	30
A. And its Two-pronged Critique: Isonomia and ‘Early’ States .....	32
VI. The Conditions of Existence of Political Authority: Insights from the Theory of Normative Orders .....	36
<b>2. The Dependence of Constitutional Democracy on the Distinction between Creation and Application of Law</b> .....	<b>43</b>
I. Introduction .....	43
II. The Contested Relationship between Law and Politics.....	47
III. Law as <i>lex</i> and as <i>ius</i> : The Duality that Makes Constitutionalism Possible.....	50
IV. From Constitutions to Constitutionalism: Narrowing the Focus of Constitutional Theory.....	55
V. The (Proverbial) Tension between Democracy and Constitutionalism.....	60
VI. Modern Constitutionalism as ‘Legal Otherness’ .....	64
VII. The Two-fold Justificatory Dependence of Constitutional Democracy on the Idea of Application of Law .....	71
<b>3. A Critical Evaluation of Moderate Legal Realism</b> .....	<b>80</b>
I. Introduction .....	80
II. Realism vs Formalism .....	82

III.	Let Us be Realist about Adjudication. What do Judges Eat for Breakfast? .....	85
IV.	Realism and Realisms in Law: Meta-theory .....	87
V.	The Lowest Common Denominator of Legal Realism .....	90
VI.	The Two Axes of Rule-scepticism .....	93
	A. Radical-immanent Indeterminacy Thesis .....	94
	B. Radical-transcendental Indeterminacy Thesis .....	95
	C. Moderate-immanent Indeterminacy Thesis .....	97
	D. Moderate-transcendental Indeterminacy Thesis .....	99
VII.	The Unbearable Lightness of Moderate Scepticism.....	100
VIII.	On the Normativity of Law, and On the Digestion of Judges.....	110
<b>4.</b>	<b>Towards a Unified Account of Discretion in Law .....</b>	<b>116</b>
	I. Introduction .....	116
	II. HLA Hart and the Concept of Discretion. Back to the Future?.....	119
	III. Dworkin and the (Normative) No-Strong-Discretion Thesis .....	128
	IV. Discretion as a Pervasive Feature of Kelsen's <i>Stufenbaulehre</i> .....	131
	V. Discretion as Balancing in Klatt (and Alexy) .....	136
	VI. The History of Discretion in the Administrative Domain .....	142
	VII. Administrative Discretion in Germany .....	145
	VIII. Discretion in the French-Italian Administrative Tradition.....	149
	IX. The Concept of Discretion in English Administrative Law .....	152
	X. Towards a Unified Account of Discretion in Law .....	158
	A. Normative Discretion .....	162
	B. Interpretive Discretion .....	165
	XI. Conclusion.....	168
<b>5.</b>	<b>Law <i>and</i> Language and <i>as</i> Language. An Alternative Picture of a Multifaceted Relationship.....</b>	<b>169</b>
	I. Introduction .....	169
	II. The Communicative Model of Law. A Two-way Affair?.....	172
	III. Beyond 'What is Said'. Speech-act Theory and the Rise of Pragmatics in Legal Interpretation.....	178
	IV. First Objection: Law as Language, Law and Language(s) .....	184
	V. Second Objection: Speech-act vs Text-act Theory .....	190
	VI. Legal Texts as 'Autonomous' Text-acts.....	195
	VII. An Alternative Theory of Legal Meaning: Semantic Minimalism.....	200
	VIII. Prolegomena to a Theory of Legal Interpretation .....	205
	IX. Conclusion.....	209

<b>6. Creation and Application of Law. An Analytical Distinction.....</b>	<b>211</b>
I. Introduction .....	211
II. The Two Extremes: Rejecting vs Assuming the Distinction .....	213
III. Kelsen on the Relativity of the Distinction between Creation and Application of Law .....	216
IV. Creation of Law: Of the Typicality of Legal Rules .....	219
V. The Principle of Legality as a (Semantic) Meta-norm on Law-creation and Law-application .....	222
VI. Unpacking the Idea of ‘Application of Law’ .....	227
VII. The Potential Asymmetry between Norm-following and Norm-application.....	231
VIII. On the (Different) Normativity of Power-conferring Norms .....	234
IX. Can only Officials Apply the Law? .....	237
X. Form and Substance. Towards an Analytical Account of Law-application .....	240
XI. Conclusion.....	244
XII. PS One Final Objection: Interpretation, Interpretation, Interpretation!.....	245
<b>7. The Separation of Powers. A Meta-theoretical Reassessment .....</b>	<b>260</b>
I. Introduction .....	260
II. Genealogical Issues. When was the Separation of Powers ‘Invented’?.....	262
III. A Twofold Meta-theoretical Ambiguity Plaguing the Discussion.....	265
IV. The Justificatory Debate. Monism vs Pluralism .....	271
V. Critical Approaches.....	274
VI. The Separation of Powers as a Formal Theory and as a Normative Doctrine. On the Advantages of Maintaining a Strict Distinction .....	277
A. The Formal Theory of the Separation or Division of Powers .....	278
B. A Normative Doctrine of the Organisation of Political Power Based on the Distinction between Law-creation and Law-application.....	281
VII. Conclusion.....	287
<i>Bibliography</i> .....	288
<i>Index</i> .....	309