

# Contents

<b>Introduction to Theory of Legal Evidence - Evidence in Legal Theory</b> . . . . .	1
Maciej Dybowski and Verena Klappstein	
<b>Part I Two Big Questions Looked Upon from a Historical Point of View and the View of Contemporary Theory of Science</b>	
<b>Can There Be a Science of Proof? A Cross-Atlantic Dialogue (1898–1947)</b> . . . . .	15
Olivier Leclerc	
<b>Why Does Legal Reasoning Necessitate an Interdisciplinary Discourse and an Examination from the Point of Theory of Science?</b> . . . . .	37
Verena Klappstein	
<b>Part II Theory of Law Put in Contact with Evidence Issues and Scholarship</b>	
<b>Why Are We Bound by Evidence? On The Normative Stance of Legal Proof</b> . . . . .	69
Weronika Dzięgielewska	
<b>A Good Enough (Meta) Theory of Evidence in Law: An Inferentialist Account</b> . . . . .	87
Maciej Dybowski	
<b>The Architecture of Evidential Justification Between Atomism and Holism</b> . . . . .	119
Daniela Accatino	
<b>Theories of Truth in Legal Fact-Finding</b> . . . . .	149
Viktor Gazda	

**Part III Legal Evidence Put in Practice**

<b>Expert's (Meta)Testimony: An Epistemological Perspective . . . . .</b>	<b>169</b>
Adam Dyrda and Maciej Próchnicki	
<b>Rethinking Expert Opinion Evidence as an Argument from Epistemic Authority . . . . .</b>	<b>189</b>
Bohdan Pretkiel	
<b>Testimony and Hearsay . . . . .</b>	<b>205</b>
Giovanni Tuzet	
<b>Neuroscientific Evidence in Courtroom: Clash of Two Anthropological Paradigms . . . . .</b>	<b>225</b>
Marcin Romanowicz	
<b>An Epistemic Defense of Exclusionary Rules in the Criminal Justice System . . . . .</b>	<b>249</b>
John R. Harris	
<b>Constitutional Evidence . . . . .</b>	<b>265</b>
Margarida Lacombe Camargo	