

Contents

1 Introduction	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Expanding on the Elements	2
1.2.1 CAH: Not for the ICC Alone	2
1.2.2 The Broader Questions: Rethinking Retroactive Criminal Law and the Role of Courts	3
1.3 Looking Ahead: The Structure of My Argument	6
1.4 Conclusion	7
References	7
2 Theoretical Underpinnings: Understanding the Prohibition on Retroactive Criminal Law	9
2.1 Introduction and Overview	10
2.2 Preliminary Matters	12
2.2.1 Fuller: A Theory for All Systems	12
2.2.2 Legitimacy and Legality	13
2.3 Fuller's Account: The Process of Law and the Legitimacy of the Law	14
2.3.1 Legitimate Law-Making is Reciprocal	14
2.3.2 Legal Subject as Law-Making Agent	15
2.3.3 A Duty to Obey Arises from Reciprocity	15
2.3.4 Reciprocity and Legitimacy; Focus on Process, Not Results	16
2.3.5 The Elements that Reciprocity Demands	16
2.3.6 When Process Fails	17
2.3.7 Implications: A Closer Look at the Details of Law-Making	17
2.3.8 The Place of Retroactive Laws in a Legitimate Legal System	18
2.3.9 Clarifying Fuller's Theory: Retroactive Criminal Laws May Be Permissible	20
2.3.10 What Remains?	21

2.4	Summary	22
2.5	Implications for the Process of Law-Making	23
2.6	Application to International Criminal Law	25
	References	25
3	The Prohibition on Retroactive Criminal Law in International Criminal Law	27
3.1	Introduction	28
3.2	Preliminary Matters	29
	3.2.1 My Sources Explained	29
	3.2.2 The Prohibition on Retroactive Criminal Law: A Variable Concept	31
3.3	Prologue: The Principle Prior to Nuremberg	33
	3.3.1 Overview	33
	3.3.2 The Prohibition Was Not a Treaty Norm	34
	3.3.3 The Prohibition Was Not a Norm of Customary International Law	36
	3.3.4 The Prohibition Was Not a General Principle of Law	38
	3.3.5 A Brief Overview of Divergent Conceptions and Practice	39
	3.3.6 Summary	45
3.4	The Opening Movement: The Acceptance of the Prohibition in International Criminal Law	45
	3.4.1 Role of the International Military Tribunal	45
3.5	The International Courts Were Sources of Law	48
3.6	Subsequent Clarifications	49
	3.6.1 The Nuremberg Military Tribunals Have a Say	49
	3.6.2 Summary	51
3.7	IHRL Developments: The Contribution of Human Rights Law to the Prohibition on Retroactive Criminal Law	51
3.8	Recent ICL Developments: Clarifying the Prohibition in Customary and Conventional International Criminal Law	54
	3.8.1 Overview	54
	3.8.2 Customary Law Developments: The Contributions of the <i>Ad Hoc</i> Tribunals	55
	3.8.3 The Special Court for Sierra Leone: Agreement with the ICTY	59
	3.8.4 Summarizing the Tribunals' Position	59
	3.8.5 The International Criminal Court: Rome Provides a Different View	60
	3.8.6 The Conventional Approach and Customary Law	60
3.9	The Customary Prohibition Unpacked	61
	3.9.1 The Outlines of the Prohibition: The Content Clarified	61

3.10	The Departures: The Permissible Categories of Retroactive Criminal Law	63
3.10.1	Clarifications of General Prohibitions	63
3.10.2	The ECtHR in Support: The Case of <i>CR v. UK</i>	64
3.10.3	The <i>CR</i> Case in Domestic Courts: Background	64
3.10.4	The <i>CR</i> Case in Domestic Courts: The Trial	65
3.10.5	The <i>CR</i> Case in Domestic Courts: The First Appeal	66
3.10.6	The <i>CR</i> Case in Domestic Courts: A Second Appeal	67
3.10.7	The <i>CR</i> Case: An Analysis of the Decisions	67
3.11	The Implications of the <i>CR</i> Decisions	69
3.11.1	Looking at <i>CR</i> Through a Fullerian Lens	69
3.11.2	The Clarification Exception: Further Support	70
3.11.3	Elaborations of the Law: Another Acceptable Exception to the Prohibition	71
3.11.4	Acceptable (Accepted) Elaborations in Early ICL Developments	72
3.11.5	Recently Accepted Elaborations: Confirmation of the Acceptability of the Practice	73
3.11.6	An Explanation of Why Elaborations Are Acceptable	74
3.12	Critiquing the Tribunals Approach: Lessons from Fuller	74
	References	77
4	Crimes Against Humanity: Introduction, Development and Acceptance	81
4.1	Introduction	82
4.2	The Evolution of Crimes Against Humanity	84
4.2.1	Overview	84
4.2.2	The IHL origins of Crimes Against Humanity: Pre-World War II Developments	85
4.2.3	World War II and Post-War Developments	87
4.2.4	International and National Advance and Harmonization	97
4.3	Explaining the Adherence	102
4.3.1	Crimes Against Humanity: Retroactive Criminal Laws	102
4.3.2	The Acceptance, and Acceptability of Crimes Against Humanity: A Look Through a Fullerian Lens	105
4.3.3	The Problem of Opposite Notice	109
4.3.4	Summary	115
4.4	A Final Point	115
	References	116

5	Other Inhumane Acts: Development and Critique	119
5.1	Introduction	120
5.2	Terminology	122
5.3	Contrasting the Articulations	122
5.4	Interpreting the Instruments: From Characterization to Consistency	123
5.4.1	Introduction	123
5.4.2	The Early Characterizations	124
5.4.3	Evolving Analyses	125
5.5	Comparing and Critiquing the Interpretation	131
5.5.1	Methodological Flaws	131
5.5.2	Identifying Some Deeper Problems	138
5.5.3	Possible Alternatives to the Current Approach	144
5.6	Re-thinking the Residual Clause: The Problem of Its Nature	145
5.6.1	Introduction	145
5.6.2	The Gravity Requirement: An Opening to Uncertain Applications of the Law	146
5.6.3	Arguing for a New Understanding	147
5.6.4	Re-reading the Clause: An Invitation, not a Prohibition	148
5.6.5	The Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties: Why More Is Needed	150
5.7	A Look Through a Fullerian Lens	151
	References	153
6	A New Way Forward: Advocating a Fullerian Approach to Other Inhumane Acts	155
6.1	Introduction	156
6.2	Outline	157
6.3	Courts as Law-Makers	159
6.4	Articulating a New Framework	162
6.4.1	Identifying the Elements	162
6.4.2	Defining Inhumanity	163
6.5	Inhumanity: A Criminal Law Look	172
6.5.1	Introduction	172
6.5.2	Inhumanity: The Proper Focus	173
6.5.3	Victim Focus: Should Elements Be Divisible?	174
6.5.4	A Case Study: Deportation as Dehumanization	179
6.6	Developing Identifiers	180
6.6.1	Introduction	180
6.6.2	Bodily Harm as an Indicator	180
6.6.3	Mental Harm: An Alternative Indication	181
6.6.4	Denial of Choice; A Possible Indicator	182
6.7	Summary	184
6.8	Justifying the New Approach	184

Contents	xi
6.9 Identifying the Elements	186
6.9.1 Introduction	186
6.9.2 Identifying the Elements: Essential on Any Approach	186
6.10 The Evidence and Process	189
6.11 Conclusion	190
6.12 Some Final Thoughts	190
References	192
Index	195