

Contents

1	Investment Dispute Settlement and the Position of State-to-State Arbitration in Investment Law	1
1.1	Background to the Study	1
1.2	Scope of the Study	5
1.3	Dispute Settlement Through Arbitration in International Investment Agreements	7
1.3.1	Origins of Investment Protection	8
1.3.1.1	Investment Protection: Parties to the Debate	9
1.3.1.2	The IIAs as the Backbone of the Current Investment Protection Framework	10
1.3.2	Dispute Settlement Through Arbitration	13
1.3.2.1	History of Arbitration in International Dispute Settlement Among States	14
1.3.2.2	History of Arbitration in IIAs	17
1.3.2.3	Recent Developments on the Adoption and Signing of IIAs	18
1.4	State-to-State Arbitration as a Means of Dispute Settlement Under IIAs	19
1.4.1	Origins of State-to-State Arbitration in IIAs	19
1.4.2	State-to-State Arbitration Provisions in Major Model BITs	22
1.4.3	State-to-State Arbitration Under Trade and Investment Agreements	22
1.4.4	State-to-State Arbitration in Energy Charter Treaty	23
1.5	Interim Conclusions	23
2	Framework for State-to-State Arbitration Under the Compromissory Clause in an IIA	25
2.1	Understanding the Scope of the Powers from the Text of the Treaty	25

2.1.1	The Requirement of the Existence of a Dispute Between the Parties	25
2.1.2	Coverage: ‘Interpretation or Application of This Treaty’ . . .	33
2.2	The Functioning of the State-to-State Arbitration Process	35
2.2.1	Arbitrability as a Key Feature for Arbitration	35
2.2.2	Principles Related to Jurisdiction of an Arbitral Tribunal . .	36
2.2.2.1	Separability of the Arbitration Clause	37
2.2.2.2	Competence-Competence Principle	38
2.2.2.3	Challenges to the Jurisdiction of the Tribunal . .	39
2.2.3	Mutual Consent of State Parties for Arbitration	39
2.2.4	Admissibility as a Key Issue for State-to-State Arbitration	41
2.2.5	Additional Key Principles Required To Be Fulfilled	41
2.2.5.1	Need for the Exhaustion of Other Remedies Before Acceptance of Disputes by the Tribunal	42
2.2.5.2	Bar on State-to-State Arbitration and Fork in the Road Clauses for State-to-State Arbitration	47
2.2.6	Applicable Law and Rules for State-to-State Arbitration Under IIAs	48
2.2.6.1	Applicable Law	49
2.2.6.2	Applicable Rules	52
2.2.7	Exclusion of Disputes on Certain Subjects from the SSAT	54
2.2.8	Remedies Which Can Be Sought from an SSAT	55
2.3	Interim Conclusions	58
3	Utilisation of State-to-State Arbitration Based on the Compromissory Clause in Practice	59
3.1	Background	59
3.2	Arbitration as a Means for Treaty Interpretation	60
3.3	Interpretations by an SSAT	63
3.3.1	Claims for Interpretation of the Treaty on Abstract Questions (Purely Interpretative Questions)	63
3.3.2	Claims for Interpretation of the Treaty Based on Existing Disputes	67
3.4	Future Possibilities for Use of Interpretative Powers of an SSAT	70
3.4.1	Interpretation of an IIA and Determining Coverage of an Investment (Preliminary Ruling and Advanced Ruling Procedures)	71
3.4.1.1	Through a Preliminary Ruling Procedure for Existing Disputes	71

3.4.1.2	Through an Advanced Ruling Procedure for Investments Yet To Be Made	76
3.4.1.3	Comparing the Two Methods	78
3.4.1.4	Distinguishing the Preliminary Ruling and Advanced Ruling Procedure	79
3.4.2	Harmonised Interpretation of Multi-Party Investment Treaties	79
3.4.3	Treaty Overlap as a Concern for Interpretation and Use of Harmonised Interpretation for Overlapping IIAs	83
3.4.4	Unwanted Consequence: <i>Maffezini</i> Decision and the Possibility to Use of Interpretations of SSAT Interpretations of Other IIAs by Investor-State Arbitral Tribunals	85
3.5	Arbitration for Deciding Disputes on the Application of the Treaty	87
3.5.1	Direct Claims from a State for the Protection of Its Own Rights Under a Treaty	87
3.5.2	A Diplomatic Protection Claim Espousing the Claim of Its Nationals	89
3.5.3	When the Respondent State in an Investor-State Arbitration Fails to Honour an Award of an ISAT Under an IIA	95
3.5.4	Declaratory Claims for Determination of Compliance with Its Obligations Under an IIA by the Host State	96
3.5.5	Declaratory Claims of Non-breach or Limited Breach by a Host State	97
3.6	Possibility of Mixed Claims on Interpretation and Application of a Treaty	98
3.7	Disputes on Violation of Failure to Comply with Obligations to Consult in Good Faith	100
3.8	Other Disputes Which May Be Resolved Through State-to-State Arbitration	103
3.9	The Enhanced Role of State-to-State Arbitration in the Future Based on Disputes Related to the Application of the Treaty or Through Mixed Claims	105
3.9.1	Use of State-to-State Arbitration by States to Pursue Claims on Behalf of Investors in ‘Mass Claims’	105
3.9.2	Other Disputes Under the Compromissory Clause	107
3.9.2.1	SSAT as a Means of Pursuing Human Rights and Environmental Claims	108
3.9.2.2	Situations Not Covered by the Jurisdiction of an ISAT	108
3.9.2.3	Claims on Behalf of a State-Owned Enterprise	110
3.10	Interim Conclusions	110

4	Resolution of Procedural Hurdles in Utilising State-to-State Arbitration Under IIAs	111
4.1	Background	111
4.2	The Issue of the ‘Binding’ Nature of Decisions of an SSAT and Its Effect on a Future ISAT	112
4.2.1	The Current Debate on the Status of SSAT Interpretative Awards	113
4.2.1.1	Rejection of SSAT Awards as Subsequent Agreement or Source of Subsequent Practice	114
4.2.1.2	SSAT Awards as Authoritative Interpretations Binding on Future ISATs	115
4.2.2	First Solution Option: Acceptance of SSAT Awards as Authoritative Interpretations and Their Acceptance as Soft Law	118
4.2.3	Second Solution Option: Amendment of IIAs as a Path to Provide an Explicit Binding Effect of SSAT Awards on Future ISATs	119
4.2.4	Third Solution Option: Use of Interpretations from SSATs as Precedents or <i>Jurisprudence Constante</i>	121
4.2.4.1	Hurdles in Use of Precedents in Investment Arbitration	124
4.2.4.2	Countering the Arguments Against the Use of Precedents	126
4.2.4.3	The Likely Path Forward	127
4.3	A Lack of Provisions in IIAs for Enforcement of SSAT Decisions	128
4.3.1	Background to the Current Situation	129
4.3.2	Ensuring the Enforcement of SSAT Awards	130
4.3.2.1	Negotiated Settlements and Compensation	130
4.3.2.2	Suspension of Concessions and Membership Rights	131
4.3.2.3	Enforcement Through Judicial Channels	132
4.3.3	Insight into Possible State Practice for the Implementation of SSAT Decisions	134
4.4	Legal Challenges to the Decision of an SSAT	135
4.4.1	A Challenge to a Decision Under International Law	135
4.4.2	A Challenge to a Decision Under Domestic Law	138
4.4.3	Preventing Referral of SSAT Awards to ICJ to Impede Enforcement	139
4.5	Parallel Proceedings: State-to-State Arbitration and Other Modes of Dispute Resolution	140
4.5.1	Investor-State Arbitration and State-to-State Arbitration	141
4.5.1.1	Ongoing Cases and Parallel Proceedings of an SSAT and ISAT	142

4.5.1.2	When Decisions Have Already Been Made by an ISAT	149
4.5.1.3	Effect on Future ISAT Cases After a Decision Has Been Made by an SSAT	152
4.5.2	State-to-State Arbitration and a Court Proceeding	154
4.5.3	Resolving the Conflicts Arising from Parallel Proceedings	155
4.5.3.1	<i>Lis Pendens</i> and <i>Res Judicata</i>	156
4.5.3.2	Provisions for Parallel Proceedings Inspired from Other IIAs	158
4.5.3.3	Provisions for Parallel Proceedings of Courts and Joint Interpretative Committees	161
4.5.3.4	Good Faith and Abuse of Process as Grounds for Rejection of Claims	162
4.5.3.5	Provision of Claims Against Violation of Obligations Under the Treaty	164
4.6	Interim Conclusions	164
5	Coexistence of State-to-State Arbitration Under IIAs with Other Forums of Dispute Resolution and Treaty Interpretation	167
5.1	Background	167
5.2	Co-existence of State-to-State Arbitration in IIAs and Other International Forums for Resolution of Investment Disputes	167
5.2.1	State-to-State Arbitration and the New Multilateral Investment Court	168
5.2.2	State-to-State Arbitration and the Appellate Body for Investment Disputes	170
5.2.3	State-to-State Arbitration and the CJEU	172
5.2.3.1	Existing Situation	172
5.2.3.2	Outlook for the Future	175
5.2.4	State-to-State Arbitration and the ICJ	177
5.2.5	State-to-State Arbitration and Other Regional Courts	180
5.2.6	State-to-State Arbitration and the WTO	181
5.3	Alternate Modes of Treaty Interpretation and Overlap with State-to-State Arbitration	181
5.3.1	Unilateral Declaration of Interpretation by the States	181
5.3.2	Interpretation of Treaties Through Investor-State Arbitration	183
5.3.3	Interpretation by Treaty Bodies Formed by State Parties or Joint Interpretative Agreements and Its Relationship with State-to-State Arbitration and Investor-State Arbitration	184
5.4	Interim Conclusions	189

6	Additional Suggestions for Developing State-to-State Arbitration as an Effective Means of Dispute Resolution	191
6.1	Background	191
6.2	Resolving Hurdles for Diplomatic Protection Claims	193
6.3	Clarification on Abstract Interpretation Awards by IIAs and Their Effect	194
6.4	Inclusion of Provisions for Time-Bound Resolution of Disputes	195
6.5	Clarification on Admissibility Requirements for Completion of Pre-arbitration Procedures	195
6.6	Framing Clear Procedural Rules and Guidelines for State-to-State Arbitration	196
6.7	Interim Conclusion	197
7	Conclusion	199
	Cases	203
	Court of Justice of the European Union	203
	Permanent Court of International Justice	203
	International Court of Justice	204
	Domestic Courts	205
	Investor-State Arbitration Cases	205
	WTO Panel and Appellate Body Reports	207
	State-to-State Arbitrations Based on IIAs	207
	Other International Courts and Tribunals	207
	Arbitral Awards for State-to-State Arbitrations	207
	Agreements	209
	Model BITs, IIAs (Trade/Investment Agreements) and FCN Treaties	209
	Other Agreements, Conventions, Statutes and Draft or Model Agreements	225
	References	231