Contents

	Abbreviations	viii
	Key concepts	ix
	Acknowledgements	х
1	Introduction	1
	1.1 A historico-legal approach 2	
	1.2 Structure of the book 7	
2	Concepts employed	9
	2.1 Political crime and terrorism 9	
	2.1.1 Defining political crime 9	
	2.1.2 Classifying political crime 15	
	2.1.3 Terrorism: the problem of definition 16	
	2.1.4 Ideologically motivated acts 20	
	2.1.5 Terrorism as uncivil disobedience 29	
	2.1.6 Terrorism as an international crime 34	
	2.1.7 The de- and repoliticisation of terrorism 36	
	2.2 Extradition: legal and diplomatic function 40	
	2.2.1 Reciprocal legal assistance 40	
	2.2.2 Extradition and human rights protections 44	
	2.2.3 POE versus political refuge: different legal	
	constructions 50	
	2.2.4 History of the extradition of political criminals 54	
	2.2.5 Who is a political offender? Four tests 56	
	2.2.5.1 The UK: the political incidence test 57	
	2.2.5.2 The US application of the incidence test:	
	In re Ezeta 1894 59	
	2.2.5.3 Evaluating the incidence test 62	
	2.2.5.4 The French injured rights theory 65	
	2.2.5.5 The predominance test 66	
	2.3 Conclusion 69	

vi Contents

3 The rise and decline of romantic liberalism: From the 1800s to the 1960s

- 3.1 The emergence of the political offence exception in the 1830s 71
 - 3.1.1 Revolution as evolution 71
 - 3.1.2 POE becomes widely accepted 77
 - 3.1.3 Exception to the exception: the attentat clause of 1856 85
 - 3.1.4 Four reasons behind the political offence exception 91
- 3.2 The anarchist threat at the turn of the 20th century 95
 - 3.2.1 Crime against the whole humankind 95
 - 3.2.2 A world without rules: a political goal 96
 - 3.2.3 The depoliticisation of anarchism in 1898 98
 - 3.2.4 Why was anarchism depoliticised? 104
 - 3.2.5 The 1937 convention 105
- 3.3 Conclusion 108

4 Taking the political out of the political: 1960s–1980s

- 4.1 Terrorism challenges the political offence exception 110
 4.1.1 Gradual changes in US extradition treaties 1960s-1970s 110
 - 4.1.2 The new revolutionary wave and the US draft convention of 1972 113
 - 4.1.3 Politics overrule legal concerns 1970s-1980s 117
 - 4.1.4 Interpol's incapability towards terrorism 121
 - 4.1.5 Terrorism alerts Europe in the 1970s 123
 - 4.1.6 The Iranian hostage crisis provokes a global reaction in 1979 131
- 4.2 Reagan, Thatcher and the narrowing of the exception in the 1980s 133
 - 4.2.1 Legislative war against terrorists 133
 - 4.2.2 Eain v. Wilkes of 1980 as a departure from the incidence test 133
 - 4.2.3 Terrorism starts to affect the US in the 1980s 137
 - 4.2.4 The POE loses its justification 142
 - 4.2.5 US–UK controversies with regard to terrorism in the 1980s 146
 - 4.2.6 Creation of the Supplementary Treaty of 1985 151
 - 4.2.7 Evaluating the 1985 treaty 154
- 4.3 Conclusion 159

110

	Co	ntents	vii
5	Dedication to the fight against terrorism since the 1990s		161
	5.1 Changes in global views on terrorism 161		
	5.1.1 A new understanding of terrorism in the		
	United States 161		
	5.1.2 Interpol adopts a new take on terrorism since the 1980s 168		
	5.1.3 The UN and terrorism as unacceptable violence 172		
	5.1.4 Why was terrorism depoliticised? 181		
	5.2 Dismantling the political offence exemption 186		
	5.2.1 Terrorism as an 'evil ideology' since 2001 186		
	5.2.2 The EAW of 2004 and the annihilation of the POE 188		
	5.2.3 The POE in modern days 194		
	5.2.4 Applying the POE in the 2000s 198		
	5.2.5 "Ballot rather than the bomb": fixing the POE 201		
	5.3 The repoliticisation of terrorism 211		
	5.3.1 Do bad motives make worse criminals? 211		
	5.3.2 Do terrorists have human rights? 214		
	5.3.3 A threat to democracy 221		
	5.4 Conclusion 223		
6	Conclusion: Protecting political offenders: pipe dream of romantic liberalism?		225
	6.1 The demise of the political offence exception 225		
	6.2 The delegitimisation of terrorism 228		
	6.3 Final questions 230		
7	Summary		233
8	Sources and bibliography		235
	Appendix		263
	Index		279