

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction and Method</b> . . . . .	1
1.1	Introduction . . . . .	1
1.2	Concepts in Law . . . . .	3
1.2.1	Legal Concepts. . . . .	4
1.3	A Working Knowledge of the Theoretical Concept. . . . .	7
1.4	Method: Exchange Between Theory and Practice. . . . .	7
1.5	Two Theoretical Stepping Stones. . . . .	8
1.5.1	Institutional Theories of Law. . . . .	9
1.5.2	Speech Act Theory. . . . .	16
1.6	The Theoretical Concept of a Juridical Act . . . . .	18
1.7	The Value of a Theoretical Concept of a Juridical Act . . . . .	21
1.7.1	The Value of a Theoretical Concept in General. . . . .	21
1.7.2	The Value of a Theoretical Concept of a Juridical Act . . . . .	22
1.7.3	The Value of the Creation of This Theoretical Concept of a Juridical Act . . . . .	23
	References. . . . .	24
<b>2</b>	<b>The Delineation of the Theoretical Concept of a Juridical Act</b> . . . . .	27
2.1	Introduction . . . . .	27
2.2	Delineation. . . . .	27
2.2.1	The Type of Juridical Act Needs to Exist. . . . .	28
2.2.2	Competence . . . . .	31
2.2.3	A Juridical Act Is a Constitutive Speech Act. . . . .	41
2.2.4	The Juridical Act Is Not Defined by Its Consequences . . . . .	53
2.3	The Delineation of the Theoretical Concept of a Juridical Act . . . . .	55
	References. . . . .	56
<b>3</b>	<b>The Juridical Act as a Speech Act in the World of Law</b> . . . . .	59
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	59
3.1.1	The Process of Performing a Juridical Act. . . . .	59
3.1.2	Nullities . . . . .	62
3.1.3	Upcoming Issues . . . . .	63

3.2	The Function of Rules in the World of Law . . . . .	63
3.2.1	MacCormick on Law as Institutional Facts . . . . .	63
3.2.2	Counts-as Rules . . . . .	65
3.2.3	Attached-to Rules. . . . .	67
3.2.4	Leads-to Rules . . . . .	68
3.3	The Speech Act Can Count as a Juridical Act . . . . .	70
3.3.1	Counts-as Rules . . . . .	71
3.3.2	Counts-as Rules and How-to Rules . . . . .	72
3.3.3	Non-existence . . . . .	74
3.4	The Juridical Act Can Lead to Primary Legal Consequences . . . . .	75
3.4.1	Illocutionary Force, Propositional Content and the Primary Legal Consequences of the Juridical Act. . . . .	76
3.4.2	Interpretation of the Juridical Act . . . . .	91
3.4.3	Leads-to Rules . . . . .	94
3.5	The Juridical Act Does Not Lead to (All) Legal Consequences . . . . .	106
3.5.1	Can There Be a Juridical Act Without Legal Consequences? . . . . .	106
3.5.2	The Juridical Act Has No Legal Consequences. . . . .	110
3.6	Secondary Legal Consequences. . . . .	115
3.6.1	The Difference Between Primary Legal Consequences and Secondary Legal Consequences . . . . .	117
3.6.2	Different Functions of Secondary Legal Consequences . . . . .	120
3.6.3	Secondary Legal Consequences Are Attached to the Primary Legal Consequences . . . . .	127
3.6.4	The Argument in Favour of the Distinction Between Primary and Secondary Legal Consequences . . . . .	132
3.7	The Juridical Act as a Speech Act in the World of Law. . . . .	132
	References. . . . .	134
<b>4</b>	<b>The Value of the Theoretical Concept . . . . .</b>	<b>137</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	137
4.1.1	The General Value of Theoretical Concepts . . . . .	138
4.1.2	The Value of a Theoretical Concept of a Juridical Act . . . . .	140
4.1.3	The Value of the Particular Theoretical Concept as Created . . . . .	142
4.2	The Dutch Concept <i>Rechtshandeling</i> . . . . .	143
4.2.1	The <i>Rechtshandeling</i> in the Dutch Legal System . . . . .	144
4.3	Juridical Acts in the English Common Law System . . . . .	147
4.3.1	Offer and Acceptance. . . . .	148
4.3.2	To Make a Statutory Law. . . . .	149
4.4	Ambiguity in the DCFR. . . . .	150
4.4.1	Two Contractual Models . . . . .	151
4.4.2	The DCFR . . . . .	153
4.5	A Comparison Between the Competence Needed for a <i>Beschikking</i> and for the <i>Verwaltungsakt</i> . . . . .	153
4.5.1	The Competence Needed for a <i>Beschikking</i> as Compared to the Theory on Competence as Developed . . . . .	155

4.5.2	The Competence Needed for a <i>Verwaltungsakt</i> as Compared to the Theory on Competence as Developed ..	158
4.5.3	The Competence Needed for a <i>Beschikking</i> as Compared to the Competence Needed for a <i>Verwaltungsakt</i> with the Help of the Concept of Competence as Developed ..	159
4.6	Can Computers Perform Juridical Acts? .....	161
4.7	The Value of the Theoretical Concept .....	166
	References .....	166
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusions</b> .....	169
5.1	Introduction .....	169
5.2	The Delineation of the Theoretical Juridical Act .....	169
5.2.1	Four Characteristics .....	170
5.3	The Performance of the Juridical Act and the Connection Between Act and Consequences .....	171
5.3.1	A Speech Act Counts as a Juridical Act. ....	172
5.3.2	The Juridical Act Leads to Primary Legal Consequences ..	172
5.3.3	Secondary Legal Consequences. ....	174
5.4	The Value of the Theoretical Concept of a Juridical Act .....	176
	<b>Bibliography</b> .....	179