

Contents

1	Introduction: The EU Objective to Global Human Rights Protection and Becoming an Actor in International Investment Law	1
1.1	A Short Summary of the Findings	1
1.2	The Research Question	2
1.3	The Structure and the Methods	4
	References	8
 Part I The Legal Equipment: Competence, Constraints and Objectives		
2	EU Human Rights as a Framework for Foreign Policies	11
2.1	Human Rights as a General Objective and Principle	12
2.2	Applicable Human Rights Norms and Their Relation to the Human Rights Objective	15
	2.2.1 Human Rights as International Law	17
	2.2.2 The EU Charter of Fundamental Rights: Responsibility Beyond the Territorial Paradigm	29
	2.2.3 Conclusion	38
2.3	Other Provisions Governing EU Investment Policy	39
	2.3.1 Specific Objective of Investment Liberalization	39
	2.3.2 Principle of Coherence	45
	2.3.3 Other External Objectives and Principles	47
	2.3.4 Other Constitutional Principles	49
	2.3.5 General Horizontal Clauses	50
	2.3.6 Conclusion	51
2.4	Policy Implications	52
	2.4.1 Competence: The ‘Can’	52
	2.4.2 Obligations and Constraints: The ‘Must’	67
2.5	Judicial Implications	75
	2.5.1 Expansion of the Legal Protection of Individuals Outside the EU?	77

2.5.2	New Benchmarks for Material Legality?	80
2.5.3	Conclusion	84
2.6	Conclusion	85
	References	86
3	The International Investment Competence of the EU	93
3.1	Introduction	94
3.2	The Traditional Scope of International Investment Regulation . . .	96
3.3	The Common Commercial Policy: Exclusive Competence	97
3.3.1	Foreign Direct Investment, Portfolio and Other Forms of Investment	98
3.3.2	Market Access and Post Admission Protection	101
3.3.3	Limitation on Grounds of Art. 345 TFEU	105
3.4	Investment Powers Under Other Treaty Competences	107
3.4.1	Free Movement of Capital and Payments	107
3.4.2	Implied Exclusive Powers	109
3.4.3	Implied Shared Powers.	112
3.5	Derogations	113
3.6	Investor-State-Dispute Settlement	114
3.7	Termination of Member States' BITs	118
3.8	Summing Up: Mapping the Competences and Discussing the Options	119
3.9	The Remaining Role for Member States	121
3.10	Conclusion	124
	References	125
 Part II The Context: Entering an Unjust Regime?		
4	Tensions Between the International Investment Regime and Human Rights: A Regulatory Tilt?	131
4.1	Introduction	132
4.2	Overview of the Emergence of International Investment Law . . .	132
4.3	Substantive International Investment Law: The Investor Privileges	142
4.3.1	Scope of Protection	143
4.3.2	Protection Against Direct and Indirect Expropriation . . .	152
4.3.3	Fair and Equitable Treatment	161
4.3.4	Full Protection and Security	173
4.3.5	National Treatment	175
4.3.6	Umbrella Clause and the Stabilization Clause	176
4.3.7	Conclusion	182
4.4	International Investment Arbitration: Entry Barriers for Other Interests	182
4.4.1	Introduction	182
4.4.2	Procedural Exclusion of Third Parties	183

4.4.3	The Set-Up and Composition of ISDS Tribunals: Bias in the System	187
4.5	Conclusion	192
	References	193
5	The EU Approach to International Investment Agreements	199
5.1	Introduction	199
5.2	Reformed Substantive Provisions	200
5.2.1	Definition of Investor, Investment and Jurisdiction	200
5.2.2	Protection Against Expropriation	205
5.2.3	Fair and Equitable Treatment	208
5.2.4	Full Protection and Security	213
5.2.5	National Treatment	214
5.2.6	The Umbrella Clause	214
5.2.7	Non-Derogation and Non-Lowering of Standards	216
5.2.8	Most-Favoured-Nation Standard	218
5.2.9	Preamble	219
5.2.10	Including an Expressed Right to Regulate	219
5.2.11	Interpretative Declarations	222
5.2.12	Exclusion of Direct Effect	223
5.2.13	The Trade and Sustainable Development Chapter	224
5.2.14	In Sum: The Place for Human Rights	226
5.3	Reforms of the Arbitration System	227
5.3.1	Third Party Participation	228
5.3.2	The Reformed ISDS or the Investment Court System	229
5.4	Conclusion	234
	References	236
 Part III Resolving the Failures, Utilizing the Possibilities: Two Proposals		
6	Assessment and Participation Through Human Rights Impact Assessments	241
6.1	Introduction	241
6.2	The Legal Framework	243
6.2.1	The International Human Rights Approach to HRIA	243
6.2.2	Other Relevant Constitutional Principles of EU Law	247
6.2.3	Summarizing the Legal Framework for HRIA	261
6.3	The EU Rules on Human Rights Impact Assessments	262
6.3.1	The Design According to EU Soft Law	263
6.3.2	Procedures	268
6.3.3	Legal Consequences: Linking the Results to the Final Decision	272
6.3.4	Conclusion on the Implementing Rules	274
6.4	The Practice: Examples of Shortcomings and Potential	276
6.4.1	Substantive Scope	277
6.4.2	Inclusive Participation and Balanced Representation	278

6.4.3	Transparency and Independence	280
6.4.4	Conceptual Limitations as to the Legal Consequences, the Possibility to Influence and the Substantive Scope . . .	281
6.5	Conclusion	285
	References	286
7	Consultation and Participation Through Domestic Advisory Groups and Civil Society Meetings	289
7.1	Introduction	289
7.2	The Legal Framework	291
7.2.1	Duty to Assess the EU's Own Action	291
7.2.2	Duty to Promote: Realizing Human Rights Through Participation and Empowerment	298
7.2.3	Conclusion on the Parameters	306
7.3	Potential and Shortcomings of the Current Concept, Set Up and Practice	307
7.3.1	The Institutional Design	308
7.3.2	The Practice	311
7.4	Summary of the Criticism and Necessary Reforms	316
7.4.1	Conceptual Limitations and Substantive Scope	316
7.4.2	Independence	318
7.4.3	Guaranteeing Inclusiveness	318
7.4.4	Room for True Contestation.	319
7.4.5	Real Influence and the Lack of Any Institutionalized Response Mechanisms	319
7.5	Conclusion	320
	References	321
8	Concluding Remarks on the EU Obligation to Empower Neglected Interests and on Ways Forward to More Inclusive Global Economic Regulation	323
	References	329