

# Contents

## Part I Introduction

<b>1</b>	<b>Cour Suprême du Sport Mondial</b> . . . . .	3
1.1	The First Thirty Years . . . . .	3
1.2	Studying the Judge: CAS as an Arbitration Court . . . . .	5
1.3	Studying a Legal Bumblebee: CAS and the Development of a Transnational Legal Order . . . . .	7
1.4	Descriptive and Critical, Doctrinal and Empirical . . . . .	14
1.5	Data Collection, Confidentiality, and Public Access . . . . .	16
1.6	Law as Network . . . . .	21
1.7	Organization of the Book . . . . .	26
	References . . . . .	27
<b>2</b>	<b>CAS: An Overview</b> . . . . .	31
2.1	Organizational and Regulatory Framework . . . . .	31
2.2	Jurisdiction . . . . .	35
2.3	Formation . . . . .	38
2.4	Sports and Subject Matters . . . . .	39
	References . . . . .	41

## Part II The Jurisprudence

<b>3</b>	<b>The Lay of the Land: The Topography of CAS Jurisprudence</b> . . .	45
3.1	Treasure Islands(?) . . . . .	45
3.2	Let's Stay Connected . . . . .	52
3.3	Requests In, Decisions Out . . . . .	61
3.4	Requests In, and Then What? . . . . .	66
3.5	References Out . . . . .	71
3.6	References In . . . . .	78
	References . . . . .	81

<b>4</b>	<b>CAS Decisions as Precedent</b> . . . . .	85
4.1	Precedent and Non-arbitrary Arbitration . . . . .	85
4.2	Horizontal Precedent . . . . .	91
4.2.1	What CAS Says: CAS on CAS Decisions as Precedent . . . . .	91
4.2.2	What CAS Does: Habit of Adherence . . . . .	94
4.2.3	<i>De Facto</i> Stare Decisis . . . . .	100
4.3	Vertical Precedent . . . . .	102
4.4	Looking Forward and Setting the Right Precedent . . . . .	106
4.5	Unpublished Decisions as Precedent . . . . .	108
4.6	System-Arbitrator-Precedent . . . . .	113
	References . . . . .	115
<b>5</b>	<b>CAS's Landmark Decisions</b> . . . . .	119
5.1	Importance of Important Decisions . . . . .	119
5.2	Degree Distribution: A Few Good Cases . . . . .	121
5.3	CAS's High Impact Decisions . . . . .	125
5.4	Good or Just Old? The Example of Strict Liability and Proportional Sanctions . . . . .	132
5.5	Strategically Placed Decisions . . . . .	138
5.6	Characteristics of Landmark Decisions . . . . .	141
	References . . . . .	143
<b>6</b>	<b>Structure of CAS's Jurisprudence</b> . . . . .	145
6.1	The Importance of Structure . . . . .	145
6.2	Communities . . . . .	146
6.2.1	Three Approaches to Community Detection . . . . .	146
6.2.2	LDA Topic Modelling . . . . .	148
6.2.3	Understanding Topics . . . . .	149
6.2.4	Co-citation Clustering . . . . .	159
6.2.5	Subjects, Topics, and Clusters Compared . . . . .	163
6.3	Connections . . . . .	167
6.3.1	Precedential Power: Leader of the Pack? . . . . .	167
6.3.2	Persuasive Power: With a Little Help from My Friends? . . . . .	174
6.3.3	Inter-Community Influence: All by Myself? . . . . .	179
6.4	What We Might Have Missed . . . . .	182
	References . . . . .	182
<b>7</b>	<b>CAS's Normative Contribution</b> . . . . .	185
7.1	Norms, Rules, and Principles, in and from CAS . . . . .	185
7.2	Constitutional and Administrative Norms: Allocation and Exercise of Power . . . . .	191
7.3	Respect for Fundamental Rights . . . . .	199
7.4	Procedural Norms: CAS on Being Before CAS . . . . .	204
7.5	Methodological Norms: Norms for Determining Norms . . . . .	206

7.6	Sanctions and Remedies . . . . .	209
7.7	Method, Madness, and Magpies . . . . .	212
	References . . . . .	215
<b>Part III The Actors</b>		
<b>8</b>	<b>CAS Arbitrators and Their Relationships . . . . .</b>	<b>219</b>
8.1	CAS as a Human Network . . . . .	219
8.2	The Appointable Arbitrator . . . . .	220
8.3	The Appointed Arbitrator . . . . .	222
8.4	The Co-appearing Arbitrator . . . . .	228
8.5	The Football Arbitrator . . . . .	232
8.6	The Influential Arbitrator . . . . .	235
8.7	The Repeat Arbitrator . . . . .	240
8.8	The Compatriot Arbitrator . . . . .	251
8.9	The President Arbitrator . . . . .	254
8.10	The Sole Arbitrator . . . . .	258
	References . . . . .	259
<b>9</b>	<b>The Characteristics of CAS Arbitrators . . . . .</b>	<b>261</b>
9.1	Who the Arbitrators Are and Why It Matters . . . . .	261
9.2	Demographics of CAS Arbitrators . . . . .	264
9.2.1	Age . . . . .	264
9.2.2	Gender . . . . .	267
9.2.3	Professional Background . . . . .	269
9.2.4	Geographic Origin . . . . .	270
9.2.5	Towards Increased Diversity and Representativeness . . . . .	274
9.3	The Role of Legal Tradition . . . . .	275
9.4	The Role of Language . . . . .	281
	References . . . . .	284
<b>10</b>	<b>CAS from the Litigants' Perspective . . . . .</b>	<b>287</b>
10.1	Forms of Arbitration . . . . .	287
10.2	Individuals as CAS Litigants . . . . .	292
10.3	Clubs as CAS Litigants . . . . .	297
10.4	Sports Governing Bodies as CAS Litigants . . . . .	299
10.5	Geographic Origin of CAS Litigants . . . . .	303
10.6	The Roles of CAS . . . . .	310
	References . . . . .	312
	<b>Table of Cases . . . . .</b>	<b>313</b>
	<b>Table of Sources . . . . .</b>	<b>329</b>
	<b>Index . . . . .</b>	<b>345</b>