

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b> . . . . .	1
1.1	Divergent Currents . . . . .	1
1.2	Geographical Context . . . . .	2
1.3	Legal Pluralism and Law Reform . . . . .	5
1.4	Overview . . . . .	7
	References . . . . .	8
<b>2</b>	<b>Law Reform and Legal Pluralism Developments</b> . . . . .	11
2.1	Law Reform . . . . .	11
2.1.1	Development of Law Reform Institutions and Agents . . . . .	11
2.1.2	More Recent Developments . . . . .	13
2.1.3	Legal Transplantation . . . . .	15
2.1.4	Attempts to Recognise Customary Laws in State Laws . . . . .	17
2.1.4.1	Codification of Customary Laws . . . . .	17
2.1.4.2	Restatement of Customary Laws . . . . .	18
2.1.4.3	Incorporation of Customary Laws by Reference . . . . .	19
2.2	Law Reform Commissions (LRCS) and Legal Professions of the Pacific Islands . . . . .	21
2.2.1	Proposal for a Regional Law Reform Commission . . . . .	21
2.2.2	The Legal Profession . . . . .	22
2.3	Legal Pluralism . . . . .	23
2.3.1	Old Legal Pluralism, Colonial and Postcolonial Pluralism . . . . .	23
2.3.2	New and Postmodern Pluralism . . . . .	24
2.3.3	Other Categories of Legal Pluralism . . . . .	25
2.4	Legal Pluralism in the Pacific Islands . . . . .	26
2.4.1	Legal Pluralism in the Pacific Islands . . . . .	26
2.4.2	Regional and Local Jurisprudence . . . . .	26
2.4.3	A New Approach To Examining The Customary Law and State Law Divide . . . . .	27

2.5	Overview . . . . .	28
	References . . . . .	29
<b>3</b>	<b>A Research Methodology for the Pacific . . . . .</b>	<b>35</b>
3.1	Indigenous and Pacific Research Methodologies . . . . .	35
3.1.1	Postcolonial Research Methodologies . . . . .	36
3.1.2	Pacific Specific Methodologies . . . . .	37
3.1.3	' <i>Talanoa</i> ' Research Methodology . . . . .	38
3.2	Utilising Pacific Research Methodologies to Resolve Indigenous and Pacific Issues . . . . .	39
3.2.1	' <i>Talanoa</i> ' and Ethical Interview Principles . . . . .	39
3.2.2	Analysing ' <i>Talanoa</i> ' Interviews . . . . .	42
3.2.3	Pacific Islands Legislative Drafters Survey . . . . .	43
3.3	Primary Material and Documentary Data . . . . .	43
3.3.1	Court Judgments and Hansard Reports . . . . .	43
3.3.2	Commission of Inquiry Reports . . . . .	44
3.3.3	National Reports . . . . .	44
3.3.4	Local Newspaper Research: The Samoa Observer . . . . .	45
3.4	Overview . . . . .	46
	References . . . . .	46
<b>4</b>	<b>The Value of Law Reform: Social and Cultural . . . . .</b>	<b>49</b>
4.1	The Ideological Principles Behind Law Making: Customary Laws and State Laws . . . . .	50
4.1.1	Social Views on Law Reform . . . . .	51
4.1.2	Limited Understanding of State Laws . . . . .	51
4.1.3	Lack of Formal Education . . . . .	52
4.1.4	Relevance to Village Life . . . . .	53
4.1.5	Cultural Factors . . . . .	54
4.1.6	Language Barriers . . . . .	55
4.1.7	Support from Secondary Data: Local Newspaper Research . . . . .	55
4.1.8	Challenges to Address . . . . .	57
4.2	Demand for Customs and Respect for the Constitution . . . . .	58
4.2.1	Customary Laws as the Basis of the Legal System . . . . .	59
4.2.2	Laws in the Samoan Context . . . . .	59
4.2.3	Respect for the Constitution . . . . .	60
4.2.4	Challenges to Address . . . . .	61
4.3	Should Customs be Codified in Samoa's Laws? . . . . .	62
4.3.1	Opposition to Codification . . . . .	62
4.3.1.1	Conflicting Values . . . . .	62
4.3.1.2	Flexibility . . . . .	62
4.3.1.3	Ascertainment and Uniformity . . . . .	63
4.3.1.4	Serving the Interests of Non-Locals Only . . . . .	63

4.3.1.5	Absence of Customary Structures to Support Customs in Legislation . . . . .	63
4.3.1.6	Difficulties for Legislative Drafters . . . . .	64
4.3.1.7	Secondary Data: Pacific Legislative Drafters Survey . . . . .	64
4.3.2	Benefits of Codification . . . . .	66
4.3.2.1	Certainty and Constitutional Compliance . . . . .	66
4.4	Emerging Conceptual Challenges . . . . .	66
4.4.1	Understanding the Dichotomy . . . . .	66
4.4.2	Need to Promote Understanding of State Laws . . . . .	67
4.4.3	Customs to be Recognised in State Laws . . . . .	67
4.4.4	Emerging Acceptance of Modern Laws . . . . .	67
4.4.5	Interdependency . . . . .	68
	References . . . . .	68
<b>5</b>	<b>State Focused Law Reform: Constitutional Offices, Institutions and Agents</b> . . . . .	<b>69</b>
5.1	The Courts . . . . .	70
5.1.1	Jurisdiction on Customs . . . . .	70
5.1.2	Judicial Training . . . . .	72
5.1.3	Role of the Judiciary in Law Reform . . . . .	73
5.1.4	Expatriate Judges . . . . .	74
5.1.4.1	General Pacific Experiences . . . . .	74
5.1.4.2	Interpretive Function of the Court . . . . .	74
5.1.4.3	Village Agreement Unenforceable Under Contract Law . . . . .	76
5.1.4.4	Obiter Unsupported by Local Realities . . . . .	77
5.1.5	Overview: The Courts . . . . .	78
5.2	The Parliament . . . . .	78
5.2.1	Lack of Systems in Place to Support Assembly of <i>Matai</i> . . . . .	79
5.2.1.1	Language in Parliamentary Proceedings . . . . .	80
5.2.1.2	Law Making Procedures: Assembly Process and Private Members Bills . . . . .	80
5.2.2	Unsuccessful Legal Transplants . . . . .	82
5.2.3	Acts of Parliament with Custom References 1962–2015 (Samoa) . . . . .	84
5.2.3.1	Acts 1962 (Independence) to 1989 . . . . .	85
5.2.3.2	Acts from 1990 to 2000 . . . . .	90
5.2.3.3	Acts After 2000 . . . . .	93
5.2.3.4	Overview . . . . .	96
5.2.4	Analysis: Acts of Parliament and Acts with Customs References (2008–2016) . . . . .	97
5.2.5	Overview: The Parliament . . . . .	99

5.3	The Executive . . . . .	99
5.3.1	Executive Law Making Process . . . . .	99
5.3.1.1	Cabinet Handbook . . . . .	99
5.3.1.2	Legislative Drafting Handbook . . . . .	100
5.3.2	Overview: The Executive . . . . .	101
5.4	The Samoa Law Reform Commission (SLRC) . . . . .	101
5.4.1	Establishment and Personnel . . . . .	102
5.4.1.1	What Model of Law Reform Institution? . . . . .	102
5.4.1.2	The Initial Years . . . . .	103
5.4.1.3	Western Educated Personnel . . . . .	103
5.4.1.4	The SLRC Advisory Board . . . . .	104
5.4.2	Law Reform Process . . . . .	105
5.4.3	Public Consultation . . . . .	107
5.4.3.1	Costly Cultural Protocols . . . . .	107
5.4.3.2	Law Reform Consultation . . . . .	108
5.4.4	Overview: The SLRC . . . . .	110
5.5	Other Law Reform Agents . . . . .	110
5.5.1	Commissions of Inquiry (COI) . . . . .	111
5.5.1.1	COIs for Customary Reforms . . . . .	111
5.5.1.2	COI Investigations and Reforms to Date . . . . .	112
5.5.1.3	COI Strengths . . . . .	112
5.5.1.4	COI Challenges . . . . .	113
5.5.1.5	Law Reform Driven by Both Matais (Customary) and Lawyers (Modern) . . . . .	114
5.5.2	The Samoa Law Society (SLS) . . . . .	115
5.5.2.1	Law Reform Functions . . . . .	115
5.5.2.2	Challenges . . . . .	116
5.5.3	Office of the Ombudsman . . . . .	117
5.5.3.1	Law Reform Functions . . . . .	117
5.5.3.2	Challenges . . . . .	118
5.5.4	Non-Government Organisations (NGOs) . . . . .	119
5.5.4.1	Law Reform Influence . . . . .	119
5.5.4.2	Challenges . . . . .	120
5.6	Emerging Conceptual Challenges . . . . .	121
5.6.1	Law Reform Framework Not Embedded in Customs . . . . .	121
5.6.1.1	Laws Authorising Law Making . . . . .	121
5.6.1.2	Procedures Guiding Law Making . . . . .	121
5.6.2	Limited Professional Training Opportunities . . . . .	122
5.6.3	Involving the Village Structures in the Law Reform Process . . . . .	122
5.6.4	Funding Constraints . . . . .	122
	References . . . . .	123

<b>6</b>	<b>Towards Responsive Law Reform</b>	129
6.1	Public Awareness of State Laws	130
6.1.1	Develop Community Awareness	130
6.1.2	Facilitate Public Access to Acts and Court Decisions	131
6.2	Professional Training: Judiciary, Parliament, Legal Profession	133
6.2.1	The Judiciary	133
6.2.1.1	Judicial Training	133
6.2.1.2	Judicial Guidance Clause	134
6.2.1.3	Code of Judicial Conduct	135
6.2.1.4	Court Benchbooks	136
6.2.1.5	New Developments in the Courts of Samoa	137
6.2.2	Parliament	137
6.2.2.1	Professional Training	137
6.2.2.2	Customary Duties to Village Communities	139
6.2.2.3	Private Members Bill (PMB)	140
6.2.2.4	Recent Developments for Parliament	141
6.2.3	Legal Profession	142
6.2.3.1	Professional Training on the Rule of Law and Samoan Customs	142
6.2.3.2	Training on Samoan Language and Cultural Protocols	142
6.2.3.3	Engaging in Academic Conferences and Quality Research	144
6.3	State Responsibilities in Law Reform	145
6.3.1	Constitutional and Legislative Review, Drafting Handbooks	145
6.3.1.1	Reference Guide to Customary Practices	147
6.3.1.2	Village Fono Act (1990) Review	148
6.3.1.3	Cabinet and Drafting Handbooks	149
6.3.2	Promote Formal Education	149
6.3.3	National Plans	151
6.3.3.1	Samoa's National Plan 2012–2016	151
6.3.3.2	Law and Justice Sector Plan	152
6.4	Village Responsibilities in Law Reform	152
6.4.1	Change of Village Mayor Criteria	153
6.4.2	Develop Constitutionally Compliant Village Rules	154
6.5	Managing Funding Constraints	155
6.5.1	Involving Customary Structures in Law Reform Consultation	155
6.5.2	Prioritising and Justifying Costs for Customary Reforms	156
6.6	Local Jurisprudence: Customary Law as the Basis of Common Law	157

6.7	Legislative Drafters and Draft Laws . . . . .	159
6.7.1	Pacific Specific Drafting and Law Reform Training . . . . .	159
6.7.2	Drafting in the Samoan Language, Legislative References, Context . . . . .	160
6.7.3	Review of Draft Laws for Customary Compliance . . . . .	162
6.8	Summary of Responses to Legal Pluralism . . . . .	164
	References . . . . .	165
<b>7</b>	<b>A Suitable Law Reform Framework for Pluralist Countries . . . . .</b>	<b>169</b>
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	170
7.2	A Suitable Law Reform Process for Samoa . . . . .	171
7.2.1	Before the Terms of Reference (TOR) . . . . .	171
7.2.1.1	Step 1 . . . . .	171
7.2.2	After a TOR Is Received by the SLRC . . . . .	171
7.2.2.1	Step 2: Research and Consultation . . . . .	171
7.2.2.1.1	Doctrinal Research . . . . .	171
7.2.2.1.2	Socio-Legal Research . . . . .	172
7.2.2.2	Step 3: Issues Paper . . . . .	173
7.2.2.3	Step 4: Research and Consultation . . . . .	173
7.2.2.4	Step 5: Final Report with Recommendations . . . . .	174
7.2.2.5	Step 6: Report Tabled in Parliament . . . . .	174
7.2.3	The Draft Bill Process . . . . .	175
7.2.3.1	Legislative Drafting . . . . .	175
7.2.3.2	Vetting for Customary Compliance . . . . .	175
7.2.3.3	Passage Through Parliament . . . . .	175
7.2.4	Law Reform Process of Law Reform Agents . . . . .	176
7.2.4.1	Commissions of Inquiry, Ombudsman, NGOs . . . . .	176
7.3	Legislative Reforms and Guides . . . . .	176
7.3.1	Local Jurisprudence . . . . .	177
7.3.2	Judicial Clause and Guides . . . . .	177
7.3.2.1	Constitutional Amendment . . . . .	177
7.3.2.2	Code of Judicial Conduct . . . . .	178
7.3.2.3	Court Benchbooks . . . . .	178
7.3.3	Reference Guide to Customary Practices . . . . .	178
7.3.4	Parliament Guides and Procedures . . . . .	179
7.3.4.1	Guide for Members of Parliament . . . . .	179
7.3.4.2	Standing Orders of Parliament . . . . .	179
7.3.4.3	State Handbooks . . . . .	179
7.3.4.3.1	Cabinet Handbook . . . . .	179
7.3.4.3.2	Legislative Drafting Handbook of Samoa . . . . .	180
7.3.5	Statutory Obligation to Consider Customs . . . . .	180
7.4	Conclusions . . . . .	181
7.4.1	Systematic Studies on Law Reform . . . . .	181
7.4.2	The Challenges of Legal Pluralism for Law Reform in Samoa . . . . .	181

Contents	xvii
7.4.3 Taking Advantage of Pluralism . . . . .	182
7.4.4 Emerging Trends . . . . .	183
7.4.4.1 A Winning Model? . . . . .	183
7.4.4.2 Incorporation by Reference . . . . .	183
7.4.4.3 State Initiatives that Accommodate Pluralism . . .	184
7.5 Future Research . . . . .	184
7.5.1 Constitutional Review . . . . .	184
7.5.2 Local Jurisprudence . . . . .	185
7.5.3 Pacific Island Specific Research . . . . .	185
7.5.4 Samoa’s Constitutional Compliant Village Rules . . . . .	185
7.5.5 Follow up Research on Legal Pluralism and Law Reform in Samoa . . . . .	186
7.5.6 Pacific Research Methodologies . . . . .	187
7.5.7 Professional Training that Addresses Customs . . . . .	187
References . . . . .	188
<b>Appendices . . . . .</b>	<b>191</b>
<b>Appendix A: List of Interview Participants . . . . .</b>	<b>191</b>
<b>Appendix B: Commissions of Inquiry and Legislative Reforms . . . . .</b>	<b>193</b>
<b>Appendix C: Law Reform Commissions Statutory Functions . . . . .</b>	<b>194</b>
<b>Appendix D: Samoa Law Reform Commission: Law Reform Process . . .</b>	<b>196</b>
<b>Appendix E: Respondents: Pacific Islands Legislative Drafters Survey . . .</b>	<b>196</b>