

# Contents

<b>Part I. Defeasibility in Question</b>	<b>I</b>
1. The Irreducibility Thesis	3
1.1 Introduction	3
1.2 Hart on Defeasible Concepts	8
1.3 The Irreducibility Thesis	13
1.4 Two Questions	17
2. The Issue of Defeasibility	23
2.1 Two Notions of Defeasibility	23
2.2 Defences and Exceptions	32
2.3 Defeasibility and the Application of Legal Concepts	34
2.4 Agenda	45
<b>Part II. Defeasibility in Theory</b>	<b>47</b>
3. The Proof-Based Account	49
3.1 Preliminaries	49
3.2 Introducing the Proof-Based Account	53
3.3 Substantive Representations of Exceptions	58
3.4 ‘ <i>Probanda</i> ’ and ‘ <i>Non-Refutanda</i> ’	65
3.5 First Conclusions	73
3.6 Refinements	77
4. Exceptions and the Burden of Proof	83
4.1 Three Objections	83
4.2 The Burden of Proof: Problems with the Received View	85
4.3 Making Better Sense of the Notion	89
4.4 Defences, ‘Proof’, and Evidential Burdens	94
4.5 Developing the Analysis	103
4.6 The ‘Logic’ of Exceptions	122
5. Implicit Exceptions	135
5.1 The Problem	135
5.2 The Common View	138
5.3 Two Senses of ‘Rules’	143
5.4 The Common View Dismissed	151

6. <i>Ceteris Ignotis</i> Clauses	169
6.1 Completing the Proof-Based Account	169
6.2 On Overrides	179
6.3 On ‘That’s it’ Clauses	181
6.4 Concluding Remarks	183
<b>Part III. Defeasibility in Action</b>	<b>185</b>
7. Actions and Accusations	187
7.1 Introduction	187
7.2 Responsibility and Action	192
7.3 ‘Yes, but . . .’	204
7.4 Defeasibility in Accusatory Contexts	209
7.5 Lines of Development	214
8. Criminal Answerability and the Offence/Defence Distinction	219
8.1 Criminal Defences in the German Model	219
8.2 Offences and Crimes	234
8.3 Defences, Convictions, and Accusations	239
8.4 ‘O Call Me Not to Justify the Wrong’	252
8.5 Prima Facie Wrongs and Prima Facie Judgments	259
8.6 In Conclusion	266
<i>Bibliography</i>	269
<i>Index of Subjects</i>	289
<i>Index of Names</i>	293