Contents

1 Introduction 1
1.1 Rational Theories of the Process of Proof 1
1.2 Making Sense of Evidence 4
1.3 Reasoning with Evidence in Artificial Intelligence and Law 5
1.4 Research Goals 7
1.5 Book Outline 9

2 Reasoning with Criminal Evidence 11
2.1 Facts, Evidence and General Knowledge 11
2.1.1 Facta Probanda and Facta Explananda 12
2.1.2 Evidence 13
2.1.3 General Knowledge and Generalizations 17
2.2 The Process of Proof: Discovery, Pursuit and Justification 20
2.2.1 Investigation, Trial and the Process of Proof 23
2.3 Reasoning in the Process of Proof 24
2.3.1 Abductive Reasoning and Inference to the Best Explanation 25
2.3.2 Causal Reasoning with Evidence 27
2.3.3 Reasoning About Motives and Actions 29

3 Two Approaches to Reasoning with Evidence: Arguments and Stories 33
3.1 Evidential Arguments 34
3.1.1 The Structure of Evidential Arguments 36
3.1.2 The Dialectical Nature of Argumentation: Attack and Defeat 41
3.1.3 Generalizations and General Knowledge in Evidential Reasoning 46
3.1.4 Summary and Evaluation 53
3.2 Stories 57
3.2.1 The Causal Structure of Stories 59
3.2.2 Episodic Structures and Story Schemes 63
3.2.3 Explaining the Evidence 69
3.2.4 Choosing the Best Explanatory Story for the Evidence . . . 73
3.2.5 Summary and Evaluation 77

4 A Hybrid Theory of Stories and Arguments 83
4.1 Combining Stories and Arguments 85
4.2 Evidential Support, Contradiction and Gaps 87
4.3 Story Coherence in the Hybrid Approach 89
4.3.1 The Plausibility and Consistency of a Story 90
4.3.2 The Completeness of a Story 92
4.4 Assessing and Comparing Stories 93
4.4.1 Comparing Stories 95
4.4.2 A Game for Inquiry Dialogue 98
4.5 Evaluation 100

5 A Formal Logical Hybrid Theory of Argumentation and Explanation 101
5.1 A Defeasible Logic 102
5.2 A Formal Theory for Argumentation 109
5.2.1 A Defeasible Logic for Evidential Arguments 109
5.2.2 Evidential Arguments 113
5.2.3 Attacking Arguments 116
5.2.4 Defeat and the Status of Arguments 118
5.3 A Formal Theory for Explanatory Stories 120
5.3.1 A Causal Theory for Explanations 120
5.3.2 Causal Stories 121
5.3.3 Stories as Explanations 123
5.3.4 Story Schemes 128
5.4 A Hybrid Theory of Argumentation and Explanation 132
5.4.1 Supporting and Contradicting Stories 133
5.4.2 The Coherence of Stories: Plausibility and Implausibility . 136
5.4.3 The Coherence of Stories: Story Schemes and Completeness 138
5.4.4 Assessing and Comparing Stories 140
5.5 Dialogues About Proof 141
5.5.1 Framework for a Formal Dialogue Game 142
5.5.2 Players, Language and Moves 143
5.5.3 The Hybrid Theory in a Dialogue 145
5.5.4 Commitments 146
5.5.5 Comparing Explanations 147
5.5.6 Current Winner and Turntaking 149
5.5.7 The Protocol 151
5.6 An Example of the Dialogue Game 154
5.7 Evaluation 160

6 Case Study: Murder in Anjum 163
6.1 The Murder of Leo de Jager 164
6.1.1 Before the 24th: The Cannabis-Growing Operation and Bank Fraud 166
6.1.2 The Evidence in the Investigation into Leo's Death 167

6.2 An Analysis of the Case: Constructing Stories and Arguments . . . 176
6.3 Cause of Death, Murder Weapon and Leo's State . . . 178
6.3.1 The Murder Weapon . . . 179
6.3.2 The Location Where Leo Died . . . 186
6.3.3 Leo's Drugged State . . . 188
6.3.4 Summary . . . 189
6.3.5 Initial Evaluation . . . 190
6.4 The Judiciary's View: Marjan Drugged and Killed Leo . . . 193
6.4.1 Marjan's Motives for Drugging Leo . . . 195
6.4.2 Marjan's Motives for Leo's Death . . . 200
6.4.3 Leo's Death and the Events Afterwards . . . 205
6.4.4 Summary of the Improved Judiciary's Story . . . 213
6.5 Alternatives to the Judiciary's Story . . . 215
6.5.1 Leo Took the Temazepam Himself . . . 215
6.5.2 Beekman As the Killer . . . 219
6.6 Comparing the Alternatives . . . 224
6.7 Evaluation . . . 225

7 Related Research on Reasoning with Criminal Evidence . . . 229
7.1 Reasoning with Stories . . . 230
7.1.1 Bennett and Feldman and Pennington and Hastie . . . 230
7.1.2 The Anchored Narratives Theory . . . 234
7.1.3 Evaluation . . . 238
7.2 Wigmore and the New Evidence Theorists . . . 240
7.2.1 Wigmore and the Science of Judicial Proof . . . 240
7.2.2 Modified Wigmorean Analysis . . . 244
7.2.3 Evaluation . . . 248
7.3 Theoretical Models of Inference to the Best Explanation . . . 250
7.3.1 Thagard's Explanatory Coherence . . . 250
7.3.2 Josephson's Logical Model of Abduction . . . 251
7.3.3 Evaluation . . . 252
7.4 Keppens and Colleagues' Decision Support System for Police Investigation . . . 254
7.4.1 Evaluation . . . 255
7.5 Probabilistic Reasoning and Bayesian Belief Networks . . . 256
7.5.1 Kadane and Schum's Analysis of the Sacco and Vanzetti Case . . . 257
7.5.2 Bayesian Belief Networks and Sensitivity Analysis . . . 259
7.5.3 Evaluation of Bayesian Approaches . . . 262

8 Conclusions . . . 265
8.1 Summary . . . 265

8.2 Results 269
8.2.1 Reasoning with Criminal Evidence 269
8.2.2 Formal Theories of Defeasible Reasoning 272
8.3 Suggested Topics for Further Research 274

References 277

Name Index 285

Subject Index 289