

Contents

1 Introduction	1
I. An Introduction to the Membership of the European Union and Its Member States in the WTO	1
II. Preliminary Issues of the Joint Responsibility Regime Under the WTO Agreement	4
III. Introductory Case	6
1. Case Brief: EC – Asbestos	6
2. A Critical Assessment of EC – Asbestos	10
a. A Latent Message of EC – Asbestos and Some Methodological Issues	10
b. The Missing Passive Legitimation Proceedings of the EU at the EC – Asbestos	12
c. The Relevance of the Division of Powers for the DSP	14
d. The Causal Link Between the Disputed Measure and EU Legislation	15
e. Justification of the Contested Measure from the EU	17
f. Findings on the Contested Measure and Its Consequences	19
g. Theoretical Implications of the Membership of the EU and Its Member States in the WTO: The ‘Formal-Substantive’ Gap	19
h. From Answerability to Liability	21
i. The Attribution of Liability and the Distribution of Burden for Violating WTO Disciplines	22
j. Complying with the DSB Rulings or Recommendations	25
IV. Concluding Remarks and the Structure of the Work	27
References	28
2 Perspectives from the International Law of Responsibility on the Joint Responsibility Regime of the EU and Its Member States	31
I. Introduction	31
II. Theoretical Aspects of the International Law of Responsibility	31

1. General Insights on International Responsibility	31
2. The Legal Definition and the Content of International Legal Responsibility	33
3. The Nature of International Responsibility	36
III. International Responsibility According to <i>legi generali</i>	40
1. The Internationally Wrongful Act and the Attribution of Conduct to States and International Organizations	40
2. The Breach of International Obligations	46
3. The Rules of Justification of the Internationally Wrongful Act	48
4. The Content and Implementation of the Regime of International Responsibility	49
IV. General Rules of Joint Responsibility of States and International Organizations	54
1. The Content of the Joint Responsibility Regime	54
2. The Modes of Incurring Joint Responsibility	55
3. The Sources of Joint Responsibility of International Organizations and Their Member States	58
a. Joint Responsibility for Acts Attributed to International Organizations	60
b. Joint Responsibility for Acts Attributed to Member States	63
4. General Limitations on the Attribution of Joint Responsibility	67
5. Division of Reparations in Joint Responsibility Regimes	68
6. Indirect Devolution of Responsibility Among the Membership of the International Organization by Virtue of Vicarious Responsibility	70
V. International Legal Responsibility in the Context of <i>lex specialis</i> Regimes	72
1. The WTO Agreement as <i>lex specialis</i> for the International Legal Responsibility	73
2. The EU Legal Order as <i>lex specialis</i> for the International Responsibility	79
VI. Conclusion	88
References	91
3 International Responsibility in Mixed Agreements: The Case of the WTO Agreement	95
I. Introduction	95
II. The Relevance of the Vertical Distribution of Powers for the WTO Agreement	97
1. Overview of the Structure of Powers in the EU Polity	97
2. The Significance of the Exclusive Competence of Common Commercial Policy for the WTO Membership	101
3. Implications of the Distribution of Powers for the Joint Membership in the WTO	104

III. The Effect of the WTO Agreement on the Legal Orders of EU and Its Member States	114
1. The Interpretation of Mixed Agreements from the ECJ and Issues of Jurisdiction	114
2. The Effect of the WTO Agreement on the Legal Orders of the EU and Its Member States	119
3. The Effect of the WTO Agreement in Light of the Challenges of Legal Pluralism	125
4. The Consequences of the Denial of Direct Effect of the WTO Agreement	126
IV. The Joint International Responsibility of the EU and Its Member States in the WTO Agreement	130
V. Conclusions	140
References	142
4 The Responsibility of the Union Polity in the WTO in Light of the Constitutional Framework of Principles	145
I. Introduction	145
II. The Principle of the Rule of Law as a Premise for Justice and Unity in the Union	147
III. The Unity of the EU Legal Order Through the Principle of Effectiveness and the Predictions for the Joint Participation in the DSP	149
1. The Relevance of the Principles of Primacy and Autonomous Legal Order for the Joint Membership of the EU and Its Member States in the WTO Agreement	152
2. The Decision of the EU to Participate in the DSP in Light of the Principles of Direct Effect and Direct Applicability	156
3. The Predictions of the Principles of Comprehensive Legal Protection and State Liability for the Breach of WTO Obligations from the Union Polity	159
IV. The Predictions of the Principles of Political Organization for the Normative Model of the Union Participation in the DSP	164
1. The Claim of Authority and the Principle of Dual Legitimacy	165
2. The Structural Compatibility and the Rejection of the Constitutional Homogeneity	166
3. The Principle of Constitutional Tolerance	166
4. The Principle of Loyalty as a Premise for Managing the Fallacies of the Joint Responsibility Regime	168
a. Doctrinal Perspectives on the Principle of Loyalty	169
b. The Legal Framework of the Principle of Loyalty	173
c. The Principle of Loyalty in the ECJ Jurisprudence	175
d. The Relevance of the Principle of Loyalty for the Fallacies of the Joint Responsibility Regime	179

V. The Political Processes Under the Rule of Law Principle and the Predictions for the Fallacies of the Joint Responsibility Regime	186
1. The Principle of Constitutional Legality as a General Limitation for the EU and Its Member States	187
2. The Principle of Conferral and the Implied Powers Doctrine	188
3. The Federal Balance in the Union and Its Relevance for the Membership of the EU and Its Member States in the WTO	192
a. The Vertical Division of Powers	193
b. Principles of Subsidiarity and Proportionality	195
VI. Concluding Remarks: The Principle of Institutional Balance as a Premise for the Membership of the EU and Its Member States in the WTO	201
References	207
5 A Model for the Union Participation in the DSP and the Management of the Responsibility Concerns	211
I. Introduction	211
II. The Management of the Problems of Mixity: A Focus on the Consequences of the Joint Responsibility Regime	212
III. Formalization Versus Flexibility of the Union Participation in the DSP	221
IV. The Premises of the Normative Model of the Union Participation in the DSP	223
1. The Premises Related with the International Law of Responsibility	224
2. The Premises Related with Mixed Agreements and Particularly the WTO Agreement	227
3. The Premises of the Constitutional Framework of Principles	229
V. The Modelling of a Normative Construction for the EU Participation in the DSP	233
1. General Remarks on the Normative Model	234
a. The Formal Aspects of the Normative Model	234
b. The Normative Choice of the Model	238
c. The Reconceptualization of the Joint Responsibility Regime	239
d. The Constitutional Value of the Model	239
e. The Relation of the Model with the Current Practice	241
2. The Content of the Normative Model	242
a. The Conditions and the Processes of the Union Participation in the DSP	242
b. The Management of the Consequences of the Union Participation in the DSP	255
VI. Concluding Remarks: The Normative Model in Light of the Principle of Transparency	266
References	269

Contents	xxvii
6 Summary and Conclusions	273
References	280
Bibliography	281