

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	The Humanitarian Crises of the 1990s and the Imperatives of Change	1
1.2	The Legal Response of ECOWAS and the AU to the Conflicts and Humanitarian Crises	4
1.3	The AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes and International Law	7
1.4	The R2P Deadlock and the AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes Alternatives	15
1.5	Synopsis of Theoretical Framework	16
1.5.1	A Snapshot of the AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes Through the New Haven School	18
1.5.2	The AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes: An Exercise in Illegal International Legal Reform (IILR)?	23
1.5.3	The AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes and Articles 2(4), 53(1) and 103 of the UN Charter	25
1.5.4	The AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes and Customary International Law	28
1.5.5	The AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes and the Responsibility to Protect: Convergence or Divergence of Purposes?	29
1.6	The Structure of the Book	32
	References	36

2 From Humanitarian Intervention to the Responsibility to Protect	41
2.1 Meaning and Scope of Humanitarian Intervention	42
2.2 State Practice of Humanitarian Intervention	45
2.2.1 The Intervention in Greece 1827–1830	45
2.2.2 Intervention in Syria 1860–1861	46
2.2.3 The Bosnia, Herzegovina and Bulgarian Intervention 1876–1878	47
2.2.4 Intervention in Macedonia 1903–1908 and 1912–1913 . . .	47
2.2.5 Indian Intervention in East Pakistan (Bangladesh) 1971 . . .	48
2.2.6 Tanzanian Intervention in Uganda (1978)	50
2.3 The Opinion of Jurists on Humanitarian Intervention Before 1945	51
2.4 The Humanitarian Intervention Debates of the 1990s	56
2.4.1 International Relations Theories of Humanitarian Intervention	56
2.4.2 International Law Theories of Humanitarian Intervention	57
2.5 Humanitarian Intervention Under the UN Charter: Four Normative Considerations	59
2.5.1 Equality of States	61
2.5.2 Sovereignty and Non-intervention	64
2.5.3 Prohibition of the Use of Force	70
2.6 The Emerging Norm of the Responsibility to Protect	76
2.7 Conclusion	82
References	83
3 Post-Cold War Interventions in Africa and the Origin of the AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention	
Legal Regimes	89
3.1 Introduction	89
3.2 Liberia: A Brief Historical Background and Genesis of the Conflict	90
3.2.1 The Humanitarian Crisis	91
3.2.2 The International Response	94
3.3 Somalia: A Brief Historical Background and Genesis of the Conflict	98
3.3.1 The Humanitarian Crisis	100
3.3.2 The International Response	101
3.4 Rwanda: A Brief Historical Background and Genesis of the Conflict	105
3.4.1 The Genocide and the Ensuing Humanitarian Crisis	107
3.4.2 The International Response	111
3.5 Darfur: A Brief Historical Background and Genesis of the Crisis	115

3.5.1	The Humanitarian Crisis and “Ambiguous Genocide” . . .	118
3.5.2	The International Response	120
3.6	Libya: Brief Historical Background	123
3.6.1	A Humanitarian Crisis?	124
3.6.2	The International Response	124
3.7	A Continent Let Down and the Search for a New Legal Framework	134
3.8	Conclusion	136
	References	137
4	The AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes and the UN Charter	143
4.1	Introduction	143
4.2	Background to the AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes	144
4.3	Deconstructing the AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes	147
4.4	Arenas of Normative Incompatibility Between the AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes and the UN Charter	150
4.4.1	Article 2(4) of UN Charter and the AU and ECOWAS Right of Unilateral Use of Force	150
4.4.2	Primary Responsibility for the Maintenance of Peace and Security in Africa	153
4.4.3	Who Authorises the Use of Force in Africa?	159
4.5	The Situation Involving Non-members Such as Morocco (AU) and Mauritania (ECOWAS)	165
4.6	Implications of Article 103 of the UN Charter	166
4.7	Towards Normative Compatibility of the AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes and the UN Charter	168
4.8	Conclusion	172
	References	173
5	The Legal Validity of the AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes in a Transformed Global Constitutive Process	177
5.1	Introduction	177
5.2	The New Haven Conception of the Global Constitutive Process	179
5.2.1	Unorganised or Non-hierarchical Constitutive Process Structures (Pre-global Community Constitutive Process) and the Legality of Unilateral Humanitarian Intervention	179

5.2.2	League of Nations-Era Global Constitutive Process with Ineffective Hierarchical Structures and the Legal Validity of Unilateral Humanitarian Intervention	185
5.2.3	Constitutive Configuration with Hierarchical Institutions of Limited Effectiveness	190
5.2.4	Constitutive Configuration with Highly Effective Constitutive Structures and the Validity of Unilateral Humanitarian Intervention	192
5.3	Structural and Normative Transformations of the Global Constitutive Process and the Validity of Unilateral Actions	193
5.4	The Persistence of Type 3 Global Constitutive Configuration and the Validity of the AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes in a Post-Cold War Paradigm	197
5.5	Procedural Objections to AU–ECOWAS Unilateral Interventions	199
5.6	Conditions for Legal Validity of Unilateral Actions in a Transformed Constitutive Process	200
5.7	Substantive Requirements for the Validity of AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes	202
5.7.1	Right Intention	202
5.7.2	Right Authority	203
5.7.3	Last Resort	204
5.7.4	Just Cause: War Crimes, Crimes Against Humanity and Genocide	206
5.8	Legal Implications of the Validity of the AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes for the UN Charter, International Law and R2P	207
5.9	Conclusion	209
	References	210
6	The AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes as a Process of Illegal International Legal Reform	213
6.1	Introduction	213
6.2	The Concept of Illegal International Legal Reform Under International Law	215
6.3	Justifications for Illegal International Legal Reform	223
6.3.1	The Current Law on Humanitarian Intervention Is Defective and Needs Moral Improvement	224
6.3.2	The Infeasibility of Reform Through Formal Charter Processes	226
6.3.3	The Challenges of Reform and the UNSC’s Loss of Legitimacy	230
6.4	Objections to Reforming the Law of Humanitarian Intervention Through Illegal International Legal Reform	232

6.5	Humanitarian Intervention, Illegal International Legal Reform and the Question of Abuse	239
6.6	AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes as Exercise in Illegal International Legal Reform and the Implications for International Law	241
6.7	Conclusion	244
	References	245
7	The Legal Validity of the AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes Under Conventional and Customary International Law	251
7.1	Introduction	251
7.2	AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes Under Conventional International Law	252
7.3	The Validity of Treaty-Based Interventions Under the UN Charter	253
7.4	The AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes as Treaties of Intervention	259
7.4.1	AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes as Intervention by Invitation	259
7.4.2	Consensual Intervention and the Preclusion of Wrongfulness Under the AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes	261
7.5	The AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Treaties and the Modification of the UN Charter	265
7.6	The AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes as a Modification of the UN Charter Amongst AU and ECOWAS Members Inter Se	272
7.7	AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes Under Customary International Law	275
7.7.1	Practice Evidence of the Legal Validity of AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes Under Customary International Law	276
7.7.2	The AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes as Regional Customary Law	278
7.8	Objections to the AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes as Codification of Regional Customary Law	282
7.9	The AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes and Use of Force as Jus Cogens	285
7.10	The AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes and the Principle of Rebus Sic Stantibus	289
7.11	Conclusion	292
	References	292

8 Conclusion: The AU–ECOWAS Regional Military Intervention Legal Regimes and the Operationalisation of R2P in Africa Towards a Doctrine of Regional Responsibility to Protect	299
8.1 Introduction	299
8.2 Justifications for a Theory of Regional Responsibility to Protect (RR2P)	302
8.3 The Contours of a Theory of Regional Responsibility to Protect (RR2P)	305
8.4 Conclusion	312
References	313
Table of Cases	317
Table of Treaties and Other International Instruments	319
United Nations Documents	323
United Nations Security Council Resolutions	329
Index	331