

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b> . . . . .	1
1.1	Terminological Issues . . . . .	2
1.2	Typology of Measures . . . . .	3
1.3	Scope of Research . . . . .	6
	References. . . . .	9
<b>2</b>	<b>The Moral Question: Interacting with Traditional Values</b> . . . . .	11
2.1	Revisiting or Abstaining from Equality? . . . . .	11
2.1.1	Formal and Substantive Equality. . . . .	12
2.1.2	Similarity and Difference. . . . .	17
2.1.3	Intrusion or Exception? . . . . .	20
2.2	Integrating Human Dignity and Meritocracy: A Procrustean Endeavour. . . . .	22
2.3	Democracy: Between Majority Ruling and Minority Entitlement . . . . .	27
2.4	Justice: The Diverse Views of Fairness . . . . .	33
	References. . . . .	38
<b>3</b>	<b>The Sociological Question: Goals and Legitimacy</b> . . . . .	41
3.1	The Remedial Purpose: Compensation for Past Discrimination . . . . .	42
3.1.1	Who Compensates? Social Policy and State Outsourcing . . . . .	43
3.1.2	Who Is Compensated? Actual and Presumed Victims of Discrimination . . . . .	46
3.1.3	How Useful Is the Policy for Beneficiaries? Stigma and Stereotyping . . . . .	55
3.1.4	Does the Policy Fulfil Its Potential? Restoring or Perpetuating Inequality . . . . .	60

3.2	The Cultural Purpose: Diversity . . . . .	63
3.2.1	The Anthropological Premise: Do All Group Members Carry the Same Identity? . . . . .	66
3.2.2	The Sociological Premise: Does Participation Promote Group Interests? . . . . .	68
3.3	The Societal Purpose: Social Inclusion and Cohesion . . . . .	71
3.3.1	State Intervention in Society. Paternalism and Self-corrective Function. . . . .	73
3.3.2	Grouping Society: Promoting Actual Inclusion or Further Division? . . . . .	76
3.4	The Pedagogical Purpose: Group Models and Confidence to Institutions . . . . .	79
3.5	The Economic Purpose: Balanced Growth . . . . .	81
3.5.1	Micro-economic Effects: Efficiency and Human Potential. . . . .	82
3.5.2	Macro-economic Effects: Competition, Stability and Growth . . . . .	84
	References. . . . .	86
<b>4</b>	<b>The Policy Question: Diverse Worldwide Practices. . . . .</b>	<b>91</b>
4.1	America. . . . .	91
4.1.1	The US . . . . .	92
4.1.2	Canada . . . . .	110
4.1.3	Brazil . . . . .	114
4.2	Europe . . . . .	116
4.2.1	European Union Law . . . . .	116
4.2.2	France . . . . .	128
4.2.3	Germany . . . . .	130
4.2.4	The UK. . . . .	131
4.2.5	Italy . . . . .	134
4.2.6	Spain . . . . .	135
4.2.7	Portugal. . . . .	137
4.2.8	Belgium. . . . .	138
4.2.9	The Netherlands . . . . .	139
4.2.10	Austria . . . . .	140
4.2.11	Ireland. . . . .	141
4.2.12	Greece. . . . .	143
4.2.13	Nordic Countries . . . . .	145
4.2.14	Russia . . . . .	148
4.3	Asia . . . . .	149
4.3.1	India . . . . .	150
4.3.2	China . . . . .	154

Contents	xiii
4.4 Africa . . . . .	155
4.4.1 Nigeria . . . . .	156
4.4.2 South Africa . . . . .	157
4.5 Oceania . . . . .	160
4.5.1 Australia . . . . .	160
4.5.2 New Zealand . . . . .	163
4.6 International Law . . . . .	164
4.6.1 Universal and Regional Conventions . . . . .	164
4.6.2 The European Convention on Human Rights . . . . .	167
4.7 Comparative Syntheses and Antitheses . . . . .	170
4.7.1 Structural Variables . . . . .	170
4.7.2 Material Variables . . . . .	173
4.8 Political Identity: New Left or New Right? . . . . .	182
References . . . . .	190
<b>5 The Legal Question: Method and Intensity of Judicial Review . . .</b>	<b>199</b>
5.1 Methodology of Judicial Review . . . . .	199
5.1.1 Setting the Standard of Review . . . . .	199
5.1.2 Identifying and Assessing the Goal Pursued . . . . .	217
5.1.3 Applying the Proportionality Test . . . . .	223
5.2 The Importance of Affirmative Action for the Human Rights Theory . . . . .	234
5.2.1 A “New” Social Right in a Broadly Declining Context . . . . .	235
5.2.2 Not Individual, not Collective but “Group” Rights . . .	240
References . . . . .	246
<b>6 Conclusion . . . . .</b>	<b>249</b>
References . . . . .	254
<b>Index (Country) . . . . .</b>	<b>255</b>
<b>Index (Subject) . . . . .</b>	<b>257</b>