

# Contents

<b>1 Introduction</b> . . . . .	1
Reference . . . . .	3
<b>2 Background</b> . . . . .	5
2.1 Definition of Deck Cargo . . . . .	5
2.2 The Impact of Deck Stowage on Other Fields of Law . . . . .	7
2.2.1 Insurance . . . . .	8
2.2.2 Letters of Credit (UCP 600) . . . . .	9
2.3 Why Stow on Deck? . . . . .	10
2.4 Containerisation . . . . .	10
References . . . . .	13
<b>3 Treaty Law</b> . . . . .	15
3.1 A Brief Chronology: From the Hague to the Rotterdam Rules . . . . .	16
3.2 Deck Cargo Under the Conventions . . . . .	19
3.2.1 The 1924 Hague and the 1968 Hague-Visby Rules . . . . .	19
3.2.2 The 1978 Hamburg Rules . . . . .	21
3.2.3 The 2008 Rotterdam Rules . . . . .	24
3.3 Interpretation of International Conventions . . . . .	28
References . . . . .	28
<b>4 National Law</b> . . . . .	31
4.1 Nordic Law . . . . .	31
4.1.1 The Nordic Maritime Law Collaboration . . . . .	32
4.1.2 The Earlier Nordic Maritime Codes . . . . .	34
4.1.2.1 The Fifth Chapter: On the Carriage of Goods . . . . .	35
4.1.2.2 When Goods Could Be Carried on Deck . . . . .	36
4.1.2.3 Liability for Deck Cargo . . . . .	38
4.1.2.3.1 Exclusion of Liability . . . . .	38
4.1.2.3.2 When the Contract Was Not Excluded from the 1891 Code . . . . .	41

4.1.2.3.2.1	Authorised Deck Carriage . . . . .	41
4.1.2.3.2.2	Unauthorised Deck Stowage . . . . .	42
4.1.2.4	The Need for a Reform . . . . .	42
4.1.3	General Features of the Current 1994 Nordic Maritime Codes . . . . .	43
4.1.4	Chapter 13 on the Carriage of General Cargo . . . . .	43
4.1.4.1	Scope of Application . . . . .	44
4.1.4.2	A Grey Area Between Chapters 13 and 14 . . . . .	45
4.1.4.3	The Hague-Visby Rules Dressed in the Clothing of the Hamburg Rules . . . . .	46
4.1.4.4	Two Brief Points on the Interpretation of Chapter 13 . . . . .	48
4.1.4.5	Adoption of the Rotterdam Rules? . . . . .	48
4.2	English Law . . . . .	49
4.2.1	Common Law . . . . .	49
4.2.1.1	One Default Regime and One Collection of Principles of Interpretation . . . . .	49
4.2.1.2	When the Hague Rules Regime Has Been Incorporated Purely by Contract . . . . .	50
4.2.2	Statutory Law: The 1971 COGSA . . . . .	50
4.2.2.1	Application by Virtue of Section 1(2) . . . . .	51
4.2.2.2	Extended Application by Virtue of Section 1(3)–(6) . . . . .	51
4.2.2.3	The Meaning of Having the Force of Law . . . . .	52
4.2.2.4	Scope of the Contract Service . . . . .	54
	References . . . . .	55
<b>5</b>	<b>When Goods May Be Carried on Deck . . . . .</b>	<b>57</b>
5.1	The Main Rule: Cargo May Not Be Stowed on Deck . . . . .	58
5.1.1	Nordic Law . . . . .	58
5.1.2	English Law . . . . .	58
5.2	Agreement . . . . .	59
5.2.1	Nordic Law . . . . .	59
5.2.1.1	How Can the Parties Agree on Deck Stowage? . . . . .	60
5.2.1.2	Standard Terms in a Bill of Lading: Part of the Contract of Carriage? . . . . .	62
5.2.1.3	Liberty to Stow on Deck . . . . .	65
5.2.1.4	Third Party Protection . . . . .	66
5.2.2	English Law . . . . .	67
5.2.2.1	The Bill of Lading: Containing or Evidencing the Contract? . . . . .	68
5.2.2.2	How Can the Parties Agree on Deck Stowage? . . . . .	69

5.2.2.3	Standard Terms in a Bill of Lading: Part of the Contract of Carriage? . . . . .	70
5.2.2.4	Liberty to Stow on Deck . . . . .	72
5.2.2.5	Third Party Protection . . . . .	74
5.3	Custom . . . . .	75
5.3.1	Nordic Law . . . . .	75
5.3.1.1	What Is Custom? . . . . .	76
5.3.1.2	Containerised Deck Cargo . . . . .	79
5.3.2	English Law . . . . .	82
5.3.2.1	What Is a Custom? . . . . .	82
5.3.2.2	Containerised Deck Cargo . . . . .	85
5.4	Law or Statutory Provision . . . . .	86
5.4.1	Nordic Law . . . . .	86
5.4.2	English Law . . . . .	87
5.5	Whether Deck Stowage Against an Express Agreement Can Be Authorised by Custom or Law . . . . .	88
5.6	Conclusions . . . . .	89
5.6.1	Summary . . . . .	89
5.6.2	Observations . . . . .	90
5.6.2.1	Liberty Clauses . . . . .	91
5.6.2.2	Containerised Cargo . . . . .	93
	References . . . . .	94
<b>6</b>	<b>Liability for Deck Cargo . . . . .</b>	<b>97</b>
6.1	The Nordic (Statutory) Approach: A Special Deck Cargo Liability Regime . . . . .	98
6.1.1	Liability for Authorised Deck Cargo . . . . .	99
6.1.1.1	Presumption of Liability . . . . .	100
6.1.1.2	The Carrier's Obligations Towards the Shipper . . . . .	103
6.1.1.3	The Standard of Care . . . . .	104
6.1.1.4	Special Risk Involved in Deck Stowage v. Negligence . . . . .	106
6.1.1.5	The Carrier's Duty to Examine Containers Packed by the Shipper . . . . .	108
6.1.1.6	Two Carrier Liability Reliefs . . . . .	112
6.1.1.6.1	Exclusion of Liability: Damage Caused by Error in Navigation or Fire . . . . .	112
6.1.1.6.2	Limitation of Liability . . . . .	114
6.1.1.6.2.1	Package or Unit? . . . . .	115
6.1.1.6.2.2	The Relevant Package or Unit in the Case of Consolidated Goods . . . . .	116
6.1.2	Liability for Unauthorised Deck Cargo . . . . .	119
6.1.2.1	"Exclusively" a Consequence of the Deck Carriage . . . . .	119

6.1.2.2	Unauthorised Deck Stowage: A Fundamental Breach of Contract? . . . . .	121
6.1.3	Liability for Cargo Carried on Deck Contrary to an Express Agreement . . . . .	122
6.2	The English (Judicial) Approach: Freedom of Contract with Certain Restrictions . . . . .	123
6.2.1	Deck Cargo Falling Under the Mandatory Scope of the 1971 COGSA . . . . .	124
6.2.1.1	First Exception: Application Through Art. I(c) . . . . .	124
6.2.1.2	Second Exception: Application Through Incorporation . . . . .	125
6.2.2	Liability Under Common Law . . . . .	125
6.2.2.1	The Default Regime . . . . .	126
6.2.2.2	Principles of Interpretation . . . . .	127
6.2.2.2.1	The Duty to Care for the Cargo . . . . .	128
6.2.2.2.2	The Duty to Provide a Seaworthy Vessel . . . . .	128
6.2.2.2.3	The Duty Not to Deviate . . . . .	129
6.2.2.3	The Doctrine of Deviation: From Rule of Law to Principle of Interpretation? . . . . .	130
6.2.2.3.1	The Doctrine of Fundamental Breach . . . . .	131
6.2.2.3.2	Does Deviation Compose a Special Case? . . . . .	132
6.2.2.3.3	The Further Issue of Unauthorised Deck Carriage as a “Quasi-Deviation” . . . . .	133
6.2.2.3.4	As a Principle of Interpretation . . . . .	134
6.2.2.4	The 1977 UCTA . . . . .	134
6.2.3	Liability Under the 1971 COGSA . . . . .	135
6.2.3.1	The Hague-Visby Catalogue . . . . .	135
6.2.3.1.1	The Allocation of Proof in Theory . . . . .	137
6.2.3.1.2	The Allocation of Proof in Practice . . . . .	139
6.2.3.2	The Carrier’s Obligations Towards the Shipper . . . . .	140
6.2.3.3	The Standard of Care . . . . .	143
6.2.3.4	When Deck Stowage Is Negligent . . . . .	144
6.2.3.5	Special Risk Involved in Deck Stowage v. Negligence . . . . .	146
6.2.3.6	The Carrier’s Duty to Examine Containers Packed by the Shipper . . . . .	148
6.2.3.7	Two Carrier Liability Reliefs . . . . .	149
6.2.3.7.1	Exclusion of Liability: Damage Caused by Error in Navigation or Fire . . . . .	149
6.2.3.7.2	Liability Limitation . . . . .	151

	6.2.3.7.2.1	“In Any Event” . . . . .	152
	6.2.3.7.2.2	Package or Unit? . . . . .	153
	6.2.3.7.2.3	The Relevant Package or Unit in the Case of Consolidated Goods . . . . .	154
6.3	Conclusions . . . . .		156
6.3.1	Comparative Evaluation . . . . .		157
6.3.1.1	A Presumed Liability Regime . . . . .		158
6.3.1.1.1	A Catalogue of Illusionary Reliefs of the Burden of Proof . . . . .		158
6.3.1.1.2	A Not-so-Special “Special Deck Cargo Liability Regime” . . . . .		160
6.3.1.2	Limitation of Liability . . . . .		161
6.3.1.3	Exclusion of Liability . . . . .		164
6.3.2	Legislative Recommendations . . . . .		164
	References . . . . .		166
	<b>Table of Cases . . . . .</b>		<b>169</b>
	<b>About the International Max Planck Research School for Maritime Affairs at the University of Hamburg . . . . .</b>		<b>177</b>