

Contents

<i>Foreword</i>	page xiii
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xv
<i>Table of cases</i>	xvi
<i>Table of treaties</i>	xxiv
<i>List of abbreviations</i>	xxxvi
1 Introduction	1
Part I The construction of fair and equitable treatment	7
2 Fundamentals for the construction of fair and equitable treatment	9
A Conventional basis of fair and equitable treatment	9
1 No reference to fair and equitable treatment	9
2 Hortatory references to fair and equitable treatment	11
3 Legally binding references to fair and equitable treatment	13
(a) Conventional variations of fair and equitable treatment	14
(b) Fair and equitable treatment in combination with other standards	15
(c) Fair and equitable treatment combined with a reference to general international law	17
(d) Fair and equitable treatment combined with a reference to customary international law	18
(e) Fair and equitable treatment contingent on domestic law	20

(f)	Conclusion: increasing variety of treaty language	21
B	Institutional, economic and functional basis of fair and equitable treatment	22
1	International investment process	23
(a)	Underlying ideologies	23
(b)	The proliferation of international investment agreements	24
(c)	The dynamics of investment treaty arbitration	26
2	The effectiveness of international investment agreements	28
3	Functionality of investment arbitration	32
(a)	Methodological approaches to fair and equitable treatment	32
(b)	The function of arbitrators	35
C	Fair and equitable treatment in light of the general rules of interpretation	38
1	Recapitulating the general rules of interpretation	38
2	Applying the general rules of interpretation	40
(a)	Literal meaning of fair and equitable treatment	41
(b)	Adjacent context	42
(c)	Object and purpose	43
3	Underdetermination of the general rules of interpretation	45
3	Fair and equitable treatment and the international minimum standard	48
A	The emergence of a controversy	48
1	The international minimum standard	48
2	The equating approach	55
3	The plain meaning approach	59
B	Discussion concerning Article 1105 of the NAFTA	61
1	Jurisprudence up to the FTC note of interpretation	62
(a)	The <i>Metalclad</i> and <i>S.D. Myers</i> approach	62
(b)	The <i>Pope & Talbot</i> final merits award	67
2	FTC note of interpretation on Article 1105(1) of the NAFTA	70
3	Debate after the FTC note of interpretation	72
(a)	Legitimacy of the FTC note of interpretation	72
(b)	Evolutionary character of the customary minimum standard	74
C	External reception of the NAFTA discussion	76
1	The controversy in non-NAFTA jurisprudence	77

(a)	<i>Occidental Exploration & Production Co. (OPEC) v. Ecuador</i>	77
(b)	<i>CMS Gas Transmission Co. v. Argentina</i>	79
(c)	<i>Saluka Investments BV v. Czech Republic</i>	81
(d)	<i>Azurix Corp. and others v. Argentina</i>	83
2	The disappearance of a controversy?	85
4	The role of international law in the construction of fair and equitable treatment	89
A	Fragmentation and international investment law	89
B	Impulses from international law for the construction of fair and equitable treatment	93
1	General clauses as gateways	93
2	The gateway character of fair and equitable treatment	94
3	Gateway examples	97
(a)	Case concerning <i>Oil Platforms</i>	97
(b)	<i>Mox Plant</i> dispute	98
(c)	European Court of Human Rights	99
(d)	Article XX of the GATT	100
C	Systemic integration of international law arguments	101
1	Relevant rules of international law	102
(a)	<i>Lex specialis</i> rules	102
(b)	The matter of limited jurisdiction	103
2	Applicability between the parties	107
3	Inter-temporality	108
D	Conclusion: the integrative construction of fair and equitable treatment	110
	Part II The concept of fair and equitable treatment	113
5	Conceptual challenges	115
A	Conceptual problems in arbitral jurisprudence	115
1	<i>Topoi</i> in arbitral jurisprudence	116
2	Quality of the concept	119
B	Conceptual suggestions from legal scholarship	121
1	Fair and equitable treatment as a ‘standard’	122
2	Fair and equitable treatment as an embodiment of the rule of law	125
6	Fair and equitable treatment and justice	129
A	Fair and equitable treatment as an embodiment of justice	129

1	Connections between fair and equitable treatment and justice	129
2	The rise of justice in international legal relations	132
3	Theories of international justice	135
(a)	Cosmopolitanism	135
(b)	Communitarism	137
(c)	Rawls' <i>Law of Peoples</i>	138
B	Franck's theory on fairness in international law	140
1	Legitimacy	141
2	Equity	142
3	Fairness discourse	144
C	Fairness discourse on fair and equitable treatment	146
1	Stages of a legal discourse	146
2	Aspects of stability and change	148
3	The imperative of balancing	151
7	Principles of fair and equitable treatment	154
A	Substantive principles	154
1	Sovereignty	154
(a)	Meaning of sovereignty in the context of international investment law	155
(b)	Sovereignty in arbitral jurisprudence	159
(c)	The relative weight of sovereignty	163
2	Legitimate expectations	164
(a)	The relevance of expectations in general	165
(b)	Investors' expectations in arbitral jurisprudence	169
(i)	Stability of the overall legal framework	169
(ii)	Stability in the administrative conduct	175
(iii)	Stability in the contractual relationship	180
(c)	The relative weight of investors' expectations	186
3	Non-discrimination	187
(a)	General meaning of non-discrimination	188
(b)	Non-discrimination in arbitral jurisprudence	189
(c)	The relative weight of non-discrimination	195
4	Sustainable development	197
(a)	Emergence and meaning of sustainable development	197
(b)	Fair and equitable treatment and sustainable development	203
(c)	Sustainable development in arbitral jurisprudence	206
(d)	The relative weight of sustainable development	211
B	Procedural principles	213

1	Fair procedure	213
	(a) General concepts of procedural fairness	214
	(b) Procedural fairness in arbitral jurisprudence	217
	(i) Denial of justice	217
	(ii) Administrative due process	225
	(c) The relative weight of procedural fairness	227
2	Transparency	227
	(a) General meaning of transparency	228
	(b) Transparency in arbitral jurisprudence	230
	(c) The relative weight of transparency	234
C	The structure, intensity and rationality of review	235
1	Proportionality as structural element	236
	(a) General meaning and function of proportionality	236
	(b) Proportionality in arbitral jurisprudence	240
2	Intensity of review	246
	(a) Variability of the intensity of review	246
	(b) Intensity of review in times of economic crisis	248
3	Rationality deficits	250
	(a) Structural bias in investment treaty arbitration	251
	(b) Rationality of balancing and legal politics	253
D	Conclusion: principled fairness	256

**Part III The position of fair and equitable treatment
in the international legal system** 259

8	Fair and equitable treatment in the system of international law sources	261
A	The categorisation of fair and equitable treatment	261
1	Fair and equitable treatment as a purely conventional norm?	262
2	Fair and equitable treatment as a norm of customary law?	265
3	Fair and equitable treatment as a general principle of law?	271
B	The principles of fair and equitable treatment and the sources of international law	273
1	The general characteristics of principles	273
2	The normative status of the principles of fair and equitable treatment	277
9	Fair and equitable treatment in the system of international investment law	281

A	Interplay with other standards of investment protection	281
1	National treatment	281
(a)	Meaning of national treatment	281
(b)	Intersections with fair and equitable treatment	285
2	Most-favoured-nation treatment	286
(a)	Meaning of most-favoured-nation treatment	286
(b)	Intersections with fair and equitable treatment	287
3	Arbitrary or discriminatory measures	288
(a)	Meaning of arbitrary or discriminatory measures	288
(b)	Intersections with fair and equitable treatment	290
4	Full protection and security	291
(a)	Meaning of full protection and security	291
(b)	Intersections with fair and equitable treatment	293
5	Expropriation	295
(a)	Meaning of expropriation	295
(b)	Intersections with fair and equitable treatment	296
6	Umbrella clause	299
(a)	Meaning of the clause	299
(b)	Intersections with fair and equitable treatment	302
B	Fair and equitable treatment between dispensability and master norm	303
1	Fair and equitable treatment as an absolute obligation?	304
2	Fair and equitable treatment as a gap-filling obligation?	306
3	Fair and equitable treatment as an overriding obligation?	308
C	Conclusion: fair and equitable treatment and the idea of constitutionalism	310
10	Conclusion	317
	<i>Bibliography</i>	321
	<i>Index</i>	353