

# Contents

<i>Preface</i>	page <b>xiii</b>
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<b>xxi</b>
<b>Part I: Context and theory</b>	
1. Introduction	<b>3</b>
International adjudication	<b>3</b>
The rationalist tradition	<b>5</b>
Proceduralisation and harmonisation in international law	<b>6</b>
The nature of scientific knowledge	<b>10</b>
The admissibility of scientific evidence	<b>12</b>
The standard of review	<b>14</b>
The precautionary principle	<b>18</b>
Directions for procedural development in international scientific disputes	<b>21</b>
Conclusion	<b>29</b>
2. Co-operation between disputing parties	<b>32</b>
The importance of co-operation between disputing parties	<b>32</b>
<i>Case concerning Land Reclamation (Malaysia v. Singapore)</i>	<b>36</b>
<i>Case concerning the Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros Project (Hungary/Slovakia)</i>	<b>37</b>
<i>Southern Bluefin Tuna case (Australia and New Zealand v. Japan)</i>	<b>41</b>
<i>The MOX Plant cases (Ireland v. United Kingdom)</i>	<b>44</b>

<i>Case concerning Pulp Mills on the River Uruguay (Argentina v. Uruguay)</i>	49
<i>Nuclear Tests cases (Australia v. France) (New Zealand v. France)</i>	54
<i>European Communities – Measures Affecting Asbestos and Asbestos-Containing Products</i>	60
<i>European Communities – Measures Concerning Meat and Meat Products (Hormones)</i>	63
<i>European Communities – Approval and Marketing of Biotech Products</i>	68
Conclusion	73

## **Part II: Expert evidence**

3. Methods for taking expert evidence in scientific disputes	77
Scientific evidence from the parties	80
Advocates presenting the science	88
Evidence generated by administrative procedures	94
The party-appointed independent expert	97
Cross-examination	100
Consultation of international organisations	102
Site visits	106
The court-appointed expert	108
The WTO system for expert evidence	114
Expert witness-conferencing in international arbitration	123
Expert adjudicators and assessors	125
Determination by a neutral expert	129
Conclusion	131
4. The role of adjudicators and the role of experts	136
Mixed questions of fact and law	137
Experts and the burden of proof	148
Precaution in the views of experts	153
Two-stage adjudicatory procedures	158
The Expert Review Group in the World Trade Organization	165

Selection of experts by international courts and tribunals	171
The limits of scientific expertise	175
The quality of scientific evidence	178
The responsibility of the court or tribunal	180
Conclusion	181

### **Part III: Burden of proof**

5. Getting to the heart of the rules on burden of proof	185
Principles underlying the rules on the burden of proof	189
Legal sources of the rule on the allocation of the burden of proof	193
Judicial articulation of the rule on burden of proof	198
Judicial application of the rules on burden of proof	209
Distinctions between general rules and exceptions	209
(a) The struggle within WTO dispute settlement	214
(b) Segmentation of legal claims	221
Standards of proof	223
The prima facie case approach and the weighing of the evidence	229
Presumptions	234
Conclusion	239
6. Reversing the burden of proof to give effect to the precautionary principle	240
The precautionary principle	241
‘Administrative’ and ‘adjudicative’ burdens of proof	245
The inherent powers of international courts and tribunals	249
The threshold for the reversal of the burden of proof	254
Judicial interest in the reversal of the burden of proof	258
How might a reversal of the burden of proof work in practice?	261
(a) Cases that proceed to the merits	261
(b) Provisional measures requests	266

Technical methods for reversing the burden of proof	272
Conclusion	276
<b>Part IV: The finality of adjudication</b>	
7. Finality, revision and nullity in scientific cases	281
The principle of finality	285
Revision	289
(a) Discovery of a fact	294
(b) That the fact be unknown	296
(c) That the fact be a pre-existing one	297
(d) That the fact be relevant	299
(e) That the fact be of such a nature as to be a decisive factor	300
(f) Procedural considerations	301
(g) Assessing the utility of revision in scientific cases	303
Nullity	304
(a) The process for determining nullity under the ICSID Convention	305
(b) Bases of nullity in international arbitration and adjudication more generally	307
(c) The possibility of developing new processes for determining nullity beyond the ICSID system	313
(d) Procedural considerations	315
(e) Assessing the utility of the doctrine of nullity in scientific cases	316
Conclusion	317
8. Reassessment proceedings and res judicata	318
‘Reassessment’ proceedings	319
(a) Preliminary proceedings	320
(b) The WTO approach	321
(c) The <i>Continued Suspension of Obligations</i> cases	322
(d) Allocation of the burden of proof in the proposed reassessment proceedings	325
Challenges to countermeasures	330
(a) The <i>Continued Suspension of Obligations</i> cases and Article 22.8 of the DSU	331

(b) Assessing the situation in relation to challenges to countermeasures	334
Res judicata in subsequent proceedings	334
Conclusion	339
9. Conclusion	341
<i>Bibliography</i>	349
<i>Index</i>	365