

Detailed Contents

| | |
|--|-------|
| <i>Table of Cases</i> | xxi |
| <i>Table of Statutes</i> | xxxix |
| <i>Table of Other Primary Material</i> | xli |
| <i>List of Abbreviations</i> | xlvi |

| | |
|--|----------|
| Introduction: Developing a Framework for Integration in Competition, State Aid, and Free-Movement | 1 |
|--|----------|

PART A ENVIRONMENTAL INTEGRATION

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 1. The Environmental Integration Obligation of Article 11 TFEU | 15 |
| A. Introduction | 15 |
| B. Development of Article 11 TFEU | 15 |
| C. Addressees and Extent of the Article 11 TFEU | 21 |
| D. Content and Meaning of the Article 11 TFEU Obligation | 24 |
| 1. A definition of 'environmental protection requirements' | 25 |
| 2. A definition of 'environmental integration' | 27 |
| E. Consequences of Non-compliance with Article 11 TFEU | 31 |
| F. Applying Article 11 TFEU to the Internal Market and in particular to the Competition Provisions | 31 |
| 1. Wording | 35 |
| 2. Legal certainty | 35 |
| 3. Justiciability | 37 |
| 4. Uniform application | 44 |
| 5. Integration and competition law from a normative perspective | 45 |
| G. Conclusion | 48 |

PART B THE FIRST FORM OF ENVIRONMENTAL INTEGRATION: QUESTIONS OF SCOPE

Section I. Supportive Integration

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 2. Competition Law | 54 |
| A. Introduction | 54 |
| B. Preliminary Issues: The Definition of Undertaking, the State Action Defence and General Conditions for Applying Articles 101 TFEU and 102 TFEU | 54 |
| 1. Introduction | 54 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| 2. The definition of an undertaking | 55 |
| a) Offering services or goods to the market | 58 |
| b) Bearing the financial risk | 59 |
| c) Having the potential to make a profit | 59 |
| 3. State action defence | 64 |
| 4. General conditions for applying Articles 101(1) TFEU and 102 TFEU | 66 |
| 5. Conclusion on the preliminary issues | 70 |
| C. Article 101 TFEU | 70 |
| 1. Introduction | 70 |
| 2. Object and effect on competition | 71 |
| 3. The effect analysis and environmental integration | 72 |
| a) Loose commitments | 74 |
| b) No effect on product and production diversity | 76 |
| c) Creation of new markets | 76 |
| d) Mixed cases | 78 |
| 4. Conclusion on Article 101 TFEU | 81 |
| D. Article 102 TFEU | 82 |
| 1. Introduction | 82 |
| 2. The concept of abuse under Article 102 TFEU | 82 |
| 3. Conclusion on Article 102 TFEU | 87 |
| E. Conclusion on Competition Law | 87 |
| 3. Article 106 TFEU | 89 |
| 4. State Aid Law | 92 |
| A. Introduction | 92 |
| B. Conditions for Applying Article 107(1) TFEU | 92 |
| 1. Economic advantage | 93 |
| a) <i>Altmark</i> criteria | 94 |
| b) Market investor principle | 97 |
| 2. Selectivity | 100 |
| 3. Member State's resources, distortion of competition, and effect on trade | 103 |
| 4. An alternative interpretation of selectivity and distortion of competition | 106 |
| a) Material selectivity | 108 |
| b) Geographical selectivity | 111 |
| c) Distortion of competition | 112 |
| C. The Exclusion via Article 107(2) TFEU | 114 |
| 1. Article 107(2)(a) TFEU | 115 |
| 2. Article 107(2)(b) TFEU | 116 |
| 3. Article 107(2)(c) TFEU | 117 |
| D. Conclusion on State Aid Law | 117 |
| 5. Free-Movement Law | 119 |
| A. Introduction | 119 |
| B. General Conditions for Applying Free-Movement Law | 119 |
| C. Restrictions in Free-Movement Law | 121 |
| 1. Discrimination | 122 |

Detailed Contents

xvii

| | |
|--|------------|
| 2. Beyond discrimination | 125 |
| a) <i>Keck</i> | 126 |
| b) <i>Market access</i> | 127 |
| D. An Alternative Interpretation of Restrictions | 132 |
| E. Conclusion on Free-Movement Law | 137 |
| Conclusion: Section I | 138 |
| Section II. Preventative Integration | |
| 6. Competition Law | 140 |
| 7. Article 106 TFEU | 143 |
| 8. State Aid Law | 145 |
| 9. Free-Movement Law | 148 |
| Conclusion: Section II | 150 |
| Conclusion: Part B | 151 |

PART C THE SECOND FORM OF ENVIRONMENTAL
INTEGRATION: BALANCING

Section I. Supportive Integration

| | |
|---|-----|
| 10. Free-Movement Law | 156 |
| A. Introduction | 156 |
| B. Mandatory Requirements and Environmental Protection | 156 |
| C. Environmental Protection and Distinctly Applicable Measures | 159 |
| 1. Broad interpretation of written justifications | 160 |
| 2. Mandatory requirements as justification for distinctly applicable measures | 162 |
| 3. Environmental protection as a special mandatory requirement | 166 |
| 4. Mandatory requirement and indirect versus direct discrimination | 167 |
| 5. Distinctly applicable measures and environmental integration | 167 |
| D. Environmental Protection beyond Harmonization | 168 |
| E. Proportionality Test and Environmental Integration | 173 |
| F. Lessons from Environmental Protection as a Mandatory Requirement | 176 |
| G. Lessons from State Aid | 177 |
| H. Conclusion on Free-Movement Law | 179 |
| 11. State Aid Law | 180 |
| A. Introduction | 180 |
| B. A Rule of Reason in Article 107(1) TFEU? | 180 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| C. Article 107(3) TFEU | 182 |
| 1. Article 107(3)(a) TFEU | 185 |
| 2. Article 107(3)(b) TFEU | 186 |
| 3. Article 107(3)(c) TFEU | 191 |
| 4. Article 107(3)(d) TFEU | 196 |
| D. The Second Form of Environmental Integration in the Context of Article 107(3) TFEU: A Model for Other Areas? | 198 |
| E. Lessons from Free-Movement Law | 201 |
| F. Conclusion on State Aid | 202 |
| 12. The Article 106 TFEU Exception | 203 |
| A. Introduction | 203 |
| B. Article 106 TFEU | 203 |
| C. Article 106(2) TFEU | 205 |
| 1. Services of general economic interest | 205 |
| 2. Revenue-producing monopolies | 210 |
| 3. Entrusted | 210 |
| 4. Proportionality or obstructing the performance of the particular task | 212 |
| D. Conclusion on Article 106 TFEU | 214 |
| 13. Competition Law | 215 |
| A. Article 101 TFEU | 215 |
| 1. Article 101(1) TFEU | 215 |
| 2. Article 101(3) TFEU | 224 |
| a) Article 101(3) TFEU and block exemptions | 224 |
| b) Environmental integration before Regulation 1/2003 | 225 |
| c) Environmental integration after the consolidation phase initiated by Regulation 1/2003 | 230 |
| 3. The extent of environmental integration under Article 101(3) TFEU | 231 |
| 4. Conclusion on Article 101 TFEU | 238 |
| B. Article 102 TFEU | 239 |
| 1. Objective justification | 239 |
| 2. <i>Hilti</i> and <i>Tetra-Pak</i> as a contradiction to the second form of integration | 242 |
| 3. Efficiency defence | 245 |
| 4. Conclusion on Article 102 TFEU | 247 |
| C. Conceptualizing the Second Form of Integration in Competition Law | 248 |
| 1. Options for the second form of environmental integration under Articles 101 TFEU, 102 TFEU, and 106(2) TFEU | 248 |
| 2. Lessons from free-movement and State aid law | 252 |
| D. Conclusion on Competition Law | 257 |
| Conclusion: Section I | 258 |
| Section II. Preventative Integration | |
| 14. Competition Law | 260 |

| | | |
|---|--------------------------|-----|
| | <i>Detailed Contents</i> | xix |
| 15. Article 106 TFEU | | 263 |
| 16. State Aid Law | | 265 |
| 17. Free-Movement Law | | 269 |
| Conclusion: Section II | | 271 |
| Conclusion: Part C | | 272 |
| Conclusion and Outlook: Reviewing Integration | | 273 |
| Court Review | | 275 |
| <i>Bibliography</i> | | 283 |
| <i>Index</i> | | 311 |